Cau Elizabeth

Report February 2010

Submitted to the Town Council and School Board

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIS	STING AND DEFINITION OF ACRONYMS USED	3
LIS	STING AND DEFINITION OF ACRONYMS USED	3
<u>EX</u>	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	<u>4</u>
<u>VII</u>	. POSTSCRIPT	9
<u>I.</u>	COMMITTEE BACKGROUND AND CHARGE	
7.		
A. B.	COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE OBSERVERS	11
D,	COMMITTEE OBSERVERS	······························
<u>II.</u>	INFORMATION GATHERED	13
<u>III.</u>	DETAILS FROM PRESENTATIONS & INTERVIEWS	14
A.	Introduction	
B.	ACADIA INSURANCE PRESENTATION - ROBERT KENNEDY	
C. D.	MAINE MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES HEALTH TRUST (MMEHT)	
<u>IV.</u>	EXISTING SITUATION	17
A.	MUNICIPAL – GENERAL INFORMATION	
B. C.	SPECIFIC DATA FOR CAPE ELIZABETH MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEESSCHOOLS- GENERAL INFORMATION	
D.	SPECIFIC DATA FOR CAPE ELIZABETH SCHOOL EMPLOYEES	
<u>V.</u>	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS	21
Α.	OTHER MUNICIPALITIES	
B.		
C.	COMPARISON TO PRIVATE COMPANIES	24
<u>VI.</u>	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	27
VII	I. RECOMMENDATIONS	28

<u>VIII.</u>	ATTACHMENTS	<u>30</u>
ATTA	ACHMENT A – EMPLOYEE HEALTH INSURANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE	30
	ACHMENT B – EMPLOYEES BY CLASS	
	CHMENT C – Maine Education Benefit Trust – Plan Summaries (page 1 of 2	
	CHMENT C - MAINE EDUCATION BENEFIT TRUST - PLAN SUMMARIES (PAGE 2 OF 2	
	ACHMENT D – MAINE MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE HEALTH TRUST – PLAN SUMMARY (P	
	CHMENT D – MAINE MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE HEALTH TRUST – PLAN SUMMARY (P	
	ACHMENT E – LETTER TO MAINE MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE BENEFIT TRUST	
	ACHMENT F – LETTER(S) TO MAINE EDUCATION ASSOCIATION BENEFIT TRUST &	
RESPO	ONSE	37
	ACHMENT G CHAPTER 3 CAPE ELIZABETH PERSONNEL CODE	
	ACHMENT G CHAPTER 3 CAPE ELIZABETH PERSONNEL CODE	
	ACHMENT H HEALTH BENEFIT PLAN TYPE COMPARISON	
	ACHMENT I RELATED MEDIA ARTICLES ON HEALTH INSURANCE	44
ATTA	ACHMENT J CUMBERLAND COUNTY TEACHER COMPARISON CHARTS	45
	ACHMENT K SCHOOL EMPLOYEE POPULATION SURVEY	46

LISTING AND DEFINITION OF ACRONYMS USED

HDHP – High Deductible Health Plan

HMO - Health Maintenance Organization HRA - Health Reimbursement Account

HSA - Health Savings Account
MAP - Maine Association of Police
MEA - Maine Education Association

MEABT - Maine Education Association Benefits Trust

MMA - Maine Municipal Association

MMEHT - Maine Municipal Employee Health Trust

POS - Point of Service

PPO - Preferred Provider Organization

RFI - Request for Information SPD - Summary Plan Description

TDRA - Tax Deductible Reimbursement Account

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTION

The following is a summary of the Report ("Report") of the Cape Elizabeth Health Insurance Review Committee (the "Committee"). The Committee was set up, and the members chosen, jointly by the Town Council and the School Board to review the health insurance plans for all municipal and school employees. The purpose of the review was to reasonably determine the quality of the plans, to reasonably determine if similar quality plans could be obtained in the marketplace at a lower cost to the Town and to its school and municipal employees, to reasonably make sure whatever decisions were made that they were decisions that would enable Cape Elizabeth to attract and retain the best possible employees.

The Committee also invited representatives from all unions to attend meetings to observe and provide input as non-participating members. A representative from the teachers union attended almost all meetings, as did a representative from the public works union.

II. RESEARCH

As detailed in Articles II and III <u>infra</u>, the Committee gathered an extensive amount of information and data from a wide variety of sources:

- (1) Gathered and reviewed all contract and bargaining agreements (and related documents) for all employees.
- (2) Reviewed in detail the health plans and related materials of the MEA Benefits Trust effective July 2009.
- (3) Reviewed in detail the health benefit plans and related materials of the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust.
- (4) Obtained and reviewed summaries of health benefits information regarding plans offered by many other towns, including premium costs, cost sharing ratios between employer/employee, cash in lieu of benefit incentives, and other pertinent information.

In addition, we sent letters to both the municipal and school health trusts requesting a significant amount of information and asking questions pertinent to our review. Both letters received detailed responses.

Finally, the last major events were conducting three extensive interviews with: (1) representatives of the MEA Benefits Trust, (2) representatives of the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust, and

(3) Robert Kennedy of Acadia Benefits, Inc., an independent brokerage firm specializing in devising health plans and conducting competitive bidding processes to achieve the best possible price.

Mr. Kennedy offered to assist the Committee on a voluntary (i.e. free) basis. He reviewed all of the health plans of Cape Elizabeth, reviewed the pricing, and offered his opinions and thoughts on the key questions that the Committee was trying to answer. Mr. Kennedy also answered important questions during the drafting of this report.

Regarding the interview of the MMA Health Trust, they made an oral presentation and provided additional materials. They were then subjected to numerous questions by all members of the Committee. In fact, they were also subjected to what several members of the Committee referred to as a "vigorous" and extensive "cross examination" by one member of the Committee.

Finally, regarding the interview of the MEA Benefits Trust, they made a presentation, provided additional materials, and were subjected to questions by the Committee. They were also subjected to a "vigorous" and extensive "cross examination" by a Committee member, that is until their attorney finally answered the questions.

III. METHODOLOGY

In order to determine whether Cape Elizabeth could obtain similar health plans at a lower cost, the Committee used a fairly simple, but reasonably accurate, methodology. We obtained and reviewed the health plans, premiums, and other attributes of the health benefit packages of four private companies that were professional and were comparable in employee size to Cape Elizabeth. We picked one comparable employer that seemed most similar to Cape Elizabeth in benefits and size, and used that for comparison purposes.

We then "plugged in" each municipal and school employee into the plan and premium structure of the comparable Company A, as if they were its employees, except that we continued to use the much more favorable cost sharing formula of the municipality and the school. We then came up with a total projected cost and compared that the actual cost for the school, the municipality, and their employees. The results are stated in Part IV of this Executive Summary.

NOTE: The premium rates for the private company (known as Company A) were rates for the calendar year 2010. However, the rates for the MEA Benefits Trust used in the report were for July 2009 to June 30 2010 (the latest we had). For the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust the rates used in the report were for calendar year 2009.

As a result the school "savings" are potentially <u>understated</u> because it used MEA's 2009 rates for half of the total (July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009) while the comparable Company A rates were for calendar year 2010.

IV. POTENTIAL BENEFITS

A. Schools

There is a total potential gross annualized savings to the schools and its employees, excluding cost-sharing, of \$359,416.

Using a cost-sharing average of 88% (which is representative of the largest class) results in a savings of about \$316,286 for the schools and about \$43,130 for the employees or about \$225 per employee.

B. Municipality

The potential gross total annualized savings of just the POS Plan could be <u>\$18,414</u> for the municipality and the employees.

Now assuming cost sharing average of 80% (which is representative of the largest class) this results in a savings of about \$14,731 for the Town and about \$3,683 for the employees, or about \$82 per employee.

C. Note

If an HMO were to be offered, an even greater savings could be realized for the municipality / school and the employees. (Only the POS plan is used since a <u>de minimus</u> number of employees choose the other options).

V. CONCLUSIONS

- 1) While the state-wide plans of the MEA and MMA health trusts offer excellent benefits, they are priced high (at the upper range of reasonableness) when compared to similar plans comprised solely of southern Maine providers and enrollees. One of the main reasons for the higher price is that northern Maine providers, due to a lack of competition and other factors, have high "usual and customary" charges and/or do not generally discount these charges.
- 2) Health plans, with identical benefits, comprised solely of southern Maine based providers and enrollees, should therefore be significantly less expensive. This is based not only on provider rates being lower in southern Maine, but also because southern Maine has more health insurers which can be made to bid against each other, through a competitive bidding process conducted by a professional brokerage firm.
- 3) By moving school and municipal employees from the MEA and MMA plans to virtually identical health plans offered directly by the insurance companies offers a high likelihood that significant savings can be realized for the town <u>and its employees</u>, while achieving virtually

- identical plan benefits. For example, the potential gross savings to the schools and its employees could be about \$359,400 per annum, which assuming an extremely favorable to the employee cost sharing formula, yields a savings of about \$316,300 for the schools and \$43,100 for the employees (or about \$225 per employee).
- 4) The current employees are familiar with the existing offerings; therefore educating the employees relative to any change is paramount. However, since virtually identical plans can be obtained, the only change may be in the insurance company.
- 5) Obtaining virtually identical benefits at a lower cost to the employee provides the town and schools with a greater ability to attract and retain quality employees, as well improve the quality of services.
- 6) It is <u>essential</u> for the Town Council and the School Board to jointly engage an expert insurance broker to advise them in reviewing current plans, and especially in implementing the Committee's recommendations.
- 7) Self-insuring for health benefits is currently being investigated by some towns. State law currently prohibits towns from self insuring for health plans (but not dental plans). However, the Committee believes that the size of the enrollee pool for Cape Elizabeth and similar towns is too small to assume the associated risk of significant adverse health events, without paying a reinsurance premium that could be so large as to eliminate or even exceed any possible savings. Given that significant savings can be obtained by directly contracting with an insurance company (through a competitive bid process) it does not seem to make sense to pursue the concept of self-insurance.
- 8) To obtain reasonable quotes from private insurers, it appears that having the prior utilization and experience data of Cape Elizabeth would be very helpful (if not essential) for the insurers to provide the lowest possible premiums for groups of 50 or more employees (along with using community rating). Claims data for groups under 50 is less important because insurance companies use basically the following factors for this size group (known as community rating): age, gender, geographic location and smoker/non-smoker. Insurance companies in Maine generally release such data to other insurance (or employers) for competitive bidding purposes.
- 9) Maine Municipal Employee's Health Trust consistently supplies Cape Elizabeth with utilization and experience data (collectively claims data).
- 10) Maine Education Association Benefits Trust has stated publicly that it "cannot" or "will not" provide claims data to school districts. This has the potential effect of preventing school districts from obtaining the lowest cost competitive bids. In addition this creates a potential barrier to the entire competitive bid process. In addition, in the Committee's opinion, this helps Maine Education Association Benefits Trust maintain its significant control over health plans that can be offered by school districts to teachers.
- 11) In response to the above "cannot" argument of MEA Benefits Trust (its argument is that the benefits trust is set up as a statewide pool and simply cannot break down the data for municipalities). The Committee feels this data must be available from the MEA Benefits Trust or Anthem (its insurer); especially since such data must be collected on a per enrollee basis in order to keep track of deductibles and co-pays. Thus, school districts merely need to provide the names of its employees to obtain this data. Regarding the "will not" position of MEA Benefits Trust, the Committee believes there may be numerous legal and other means which the school district or its employees should investigate to require MEA to disclose claims data, such as: (i) we have been informed by several insurance sources that there may be a specific state law that

7

requires such disclosure to other insurance carriers and/or employers, (ii) there may also be a disclosure requirement under other general laws such as anti-trust laws, insurance laws and the unfair trade practices statute, (iii) the Maine Insurance Commission may have the authority to order the data disclosed, and (iv) the local union may have a right under its bylaws, or the enrollees may have a right under their plans, to obtain such data, (and the Legislature could pass a law, if necessary, mandating disclosure).

12) To the extent that any of the current school collective bargaining agreements require the use of the MEA Benefits Trust, the Committee believes a strong argument could be made that any such contractual provision is null and void because such insurance was not obtained by competitive bidding as required by 20-A M.R.S.A. Section 1001 sub paragraph 14, which states "except as otherwise provided by waiver [no statutory waiver is applicable-see sub paragraph 14(A)], a school board shall oversee the purchasing of insurance by competitive bidding".

NOTE: the school board may very well have the implicit power under such statute to require the disclosure of claims data.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Employee Health Insurance Review Committee recommends the following:

- 1) The Town Council and School Board consider collaborating to provide common health benefit plans to all employees of Cape Elizabeth.
- 2) The Town Council and School Board form a health benefits joint committee comprised of School Board and Town Council members, which should consider the following tasks:
 - a) Select a broker to make an initial evaluation of whether or not utilizing a bidding process would result in material savings for the town, the school, and their employees.
 - b) Cause the current school coalition to (i) lobby the school coalition's representatives and all other representatives to pass legislation, if necessary, to cause the MEA Benefits Trust to disclose claims data to each town in an appropriate and timely fashion, and (ii) hire counsel, perhaps jointly with other towns, to assist, if necessary, in obtaining the data using other legal means.
 - c) Conduct a bid process consistent with applicable state law. (See e.g. 20-A M.R.S.A. Section 1001 sub paragraph 14).
 - d) If the bidding process is successful, recommend to the Town Council and School Board a set of plans that will attract and retain high quality employees at a reasonable price.
 - e) Educate employees that the health plans will be of similar in quality and benefits
- 3) Determine if the bidding process set forth in 20-A M.R.S.A. Section 1001 sub paragraph 14 is required for the school board, and if so, use that process and include the municipal department therein.
- 4) Consider adding such optional plans as: (a) High Deductible Health Plans (HDHP) with a Health Savings Account (HSA) this type of plan can be attractive to high-income employees as well as employees with a low incidence of claims; (b) an Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) given the fact that HMOs in southern Maine are basically comprised of the vast majority of providers, such plans are very low cost, this should also be an attractive option.

- 5) Ensure that wellness is a key component of the plan offerings, and create appropriate employee incentives as a tool to prevent excessive claims and over-utilization.
- 6) Create incentives for employees to select those quality plans that have the lowest cost.
- 7) Require that the broker send out to bid plans that provide health benefits substantially identical to existing plans.
- 8) Consider collaborating, consistent with the law, with other towns to bid and offer common plans.
- 9) Create incentives to make it attractive for employees to obtain health coverage from other sources, such as offering lump sum cash payments in lieu of participation in school or town health plans. Employee should provide evidence of adequate coverage.
- 10) Consider offering tax deductible medical reimbursement accounts as a means of controlling plan cost, plus providing a benefit to employees.
- 11) Consider negotiating in all new collective bargaining agreements a provision that requires all employees hired after the effective date of the collective bargaining agreement be required to pay a higher portion of the cost of health insurance utilizing a different cost sharing formula than current employees. Make similar arrangements with non-union employees.
- 12) All potential plan designs and cost sharing must take into consideration that Cape Elizabeth competes for quality employees with other towns on a benefit, as well as salary basis.
- 13) Please note there are unique State law features that allow retired municipal and school employees to participate in our health plans in certain circumstances. While the premium is paid at no cost to the Town, the existence of older participants could increase the premiums charged everyone.
- 14) The new joint health committee should provide information, at appropriate points in time, to all employees, including, (i) allowing appropriate representatives to attend all meetings, as a way to allow the committee to keep employees informed and to provide a means for the committee to obtain input and information from employees, and (ii) disseminate information as appropriate to all employees as a whole, prior to soliciting bids.

VII. Postscript

Several points should be noted.

First, this report was drafted under a tight deadline due to the budget processes for the municipal and school departments. The "need for speed" may have caused the Report to contain typographical or grammatical errors. We apologize for that.

Second, not only is the subject matter extremely complex, the information was often subject to variables. For example, the health plans of our private company comparables may have differed from the Town's health plans in various respects, but we believe that <u>none</u> of the differences, especially in the one comparable we chose, were material.

Lastly, sometimes the data was difficult to compare on a pure "apples to apples" basis because the data may be kept or maintained slightly different. For example, the premiums charged by the MEA Benefits Trust were based on July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010 calendar year, whereas our comparable private company was on a calendar year of January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010. However, since whenever this occurred we tried to take the most conservative approach with regard to "savings" and

thus we used the Company A comparable even though it arguably understated the potential savings. Therefore, one might be able to quibble about minor variations in numbers or some of our minor conclusions. However, it should be noted that our charge was only to determine if there was a "reasonable likelihood" that the municipality / schools could achieve "reasonable" savings by employing different approaches, while obtaining and maintaining the highest quality employee. We are confident that the answer to that question is <u>yes</u>.

The Committee, especially its Chair, want to acknowledge the Herculean efforts put in by its staff, Pauline Aportria and Matthew Sturgis. Although such acknowledgements are usually made as a matter of course, this time we really, really mean it.

I. COMMITTEE BACKGROUND AND CHARGE

Health insurance is a significant cost for both the municipal departments and the schools at 11.4% and 16.6% of the payroll expense respectively. In an attempt to determine actions to reduce health insurance expenses while ensuring the ability to attract and retain the highest quality employees, the Cape Elizabeth Town Council established the *Employee Health Insurance Review Committee*. This group convened in July 2009 with representation from the School Board and the Town Council along with private citizens interested in addressing this issue.

The charge of the committee as outlined by the Town Council:

The Cape Elizabeth Employee Health Insurance Review Committee shall review the health care coverage and benefits offered to municipal and school employees.

- The committee shall prepare recommendations for opportunities for changes in coverage provided to employees, for changes in providers of coverage and for employee/employer cost shares.
- The committee shall include an analysis of how any recommendations may influence the ability of the local government/school department to recruit and retain quality employees.
- The committee shall meet for up to six months and its report shall be submitted jointly to the Cape Elizabeth School Board and the Cape Elizabeth Town Council.
- The Town Council and School Board shall collaboratively review the report and proceed thereafter to independently consider the recommendations.

At their first meeting, the Employee Health Insurance Committee reviewed their charge as outlined by the council and interpreted as follows:

Review and determine if the health plans offered or that could be offered by Cape Elizabeth will attract and retain the best possible employees; and determine how to accomplish this at a reasonable price for Cape Elizabeth and its employees.

The committee meetings were open to the public, with observers periodically attending.

A. Committee Membership

The Cape Elizabeth Town Council determined that a diverse group of individuals would best represent the interests of both the employees and the town when reviewing current insurance offerings and possible future offerings. The Town Council Chair and School Board Chair interviewed interested citizens, and from the interviewees, selected five Cape citizens (Attachment A). In addition, there were representatives from both the School Board and Town Council.

B. Committee Observers

In addition, the committee invited representatives from all unions to attend meetings to observe as non-participating members and provide input and clarification when needed. Representatives from

the Cape Elizabeth Education Association (Maine Education Association/MEA) and the Public Works Teamsters unions attended regularly were an invaluable to the committee's work.

While Committee members brought a wide variety of backgrounds and skills to the review process, there remained a significant learning curve regarding both the insurance market and the specific contracts currently in place that affect costs and choices. In order to provide the best possible recommendations, the Committee engaged in a process of information gathering and analysis, holding meetings, conducting interviews, hosting insurance presentations, and general research. This approach allowed the committee to gain an understanding of the insurance marketplace, the town's current insurance offerings, and the subtleties of the varying agreements and employee types.

II. INFORMATION GATHERED

As part of their work, the committee:

- 1) Hosted presentations and asked pertinent and pointed questions of the following groups/individuals:
 - a) Robert Kennedy Representative from Acadia Insurance http://www.acadiainsurance.com
 - b) Representatives from Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust (MMEHT) http://mmeht.org/
 - c) Representatives from Maine Education Association Benefit Trust (MEABT) http://maineeducationassociation.org/
- 2) Gathered and reviewed the following documents from the files of Cape Elizabeth
 - a) Collective bargaining agreement with Teachers
 - b) Contract with school Administrators
 - c) Contract with Ed Tech II & III
 - d) Contract with school Custodians
 - e) Contract with Ed Tech I and Secretaries
 - f) Contract with Food Service
 - g) Personnel Policy for Central Office Support Staff and Non-union Employees
 - h) Public Works contract
 - i) Police contract
 - j) Cape Elizabeth Personnel Code Chapter 3 section 3-2-11 Employee Health Benefits (Attachment G)
- 3) Created a matrix summarizing the cost of each plan, by class/category, percent of premium employer/employee, total enrollees per plan (see Attachment B) (NOTE: We focused on the Schools Plans for July 1, 2009 because that was the last year we had rates for, the Teamsters (Public Works) is for fiscal year 2010 and the Police Association is for fiscal year 2010.
- 4) Reviewed the plans offered by the MEA Benefits Trust effective July 1, 2009 and the related booklets (Attachment C)
- 5) Reviewed the health plans for the Maine Municipal Employer Health Trust (MMEHT) and related booklets (Attachment D)
- 6) Obtained plans, benefits, and costs from four private professional businesses of comparable size.
- 7) Compared Cape Elizabeth health plans with other towns and with the best comparable private companies.

III. DETAILS FROM PRESENTATIONS & INTERVIEWS

A. Introduction

In preparation for the meetings with Acadia Insurance, the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust (MMEHT), and the Maine Education Association Benefits Trust (MEABT) the committee sent each organization a memo outlining the scope of the request and the expectations of the committee for the presentations. The following are summaries of the presentations and interviews:

B. Acadia Insurance Presentation - Robert Kennedy

- 1) Robert Kennedy of Acadia Benefits, Inc. voluntarily reviewed the health plans of Cape Elizabeth. At our meeting Mr. Kennedy:
 - a) Provided an overview of the health plans for Cape Elizabeth school employees and municipal employees
 - b) Described the bid process for choosing a health insurance provider: including information needs, the process and the benefits/cost of such an approach
- 2) Mr. Kennedy's general views are summarized below:
 - a) While the MEA and MMA offer excellent benefits, they are priced high (at the upper range of reasonableness) when compared to a similar plan that was comprised solely of southern Maine providers and enrollees. One of the main reasons for the higher price is that northern Maine providers, due to a lack of competition and other factors, have highest "usual and customary charges" and/or do not generally discount these charges.
 - b) As a result, a health plan, with identical benefits, that is comprised solely of southern Maine based providers and enrollees should be significantly less expensive. This is especially so because southern Maine has more health insurers, and they can be made to bid against each other, through a competitive bidding process conducted by a profession brokerage firm.
- 3) General Observations
 - a) Brokerage bidding process (in accordance with state law) -- The town/school could contract with a broker to work with the town/school to determine the type of plans to be offered.
 - b) The broker would then gather the data needed by the insurance providers in order to bid. NOTE: Accessing the essential data is discussed in detail in the Recommendations and General Conclusion section.
 - c) The best bids would then be selected and then the bidders would then compete from this point.
 - d) The insurance companies compensate brokers and as a result, the town would be able to hire a broker at no cost.

C. Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust (MMEHT)

- 1) Information Requests MMEHT
 - a) Memo to MMEHT (Attachment E)
- 2) Interview with MMEHT
 - a) Key points committee derived from interviews:

- i) Plans are administered by Anthem and the plans offered are essentially "off the shelf" standard Anthem plans
- ii) As a result of the above statement the same plans could be offered directly by Anthem via a bidding process
- iii) Administrative fees are paid to both the MMEHT and Anthem
- iv) MMEHT charges a 10% retention fee, versus the typical 18-19% retention that is charged by a private insurer (Anthem).
- v) Two plans are currently available to Cape Elizabeth municipal employees: Indemnity Choice and Point of Service (POS) C (Attachment: D)
- vi) MMEHT is considering high deductible plans (HDHP) combined with tax deductible reimbursement account (note: available as of 2010)
- vii) MMEHT can only offer statewide plans, as a result; (i) towns are not able to access lower priced plans such as HMO; (ii) MMEHT plans are more expensive due to the higher cost of Northern Maine providers.
- viii) MMEHT individually rates communities but the premium only reflects this to a limited extent.
- ix) MMEHT currently has Wellness Works and is working to enhance this program for their members, which can help reduce claims incidence by encouraging healthy life choices
- x) Contract with Anthem for health claim services yet the MMEHT answers all client questions themselves.
- xi) The plan insures 9,300 employees who work for 450 employers in Maine. In total the plan insures 21,000 total employees, retirees and dependents.
- xii) Ninety-five percent of POS providers are in the network, making this essentially like an HMO.
- xiii) The eleven trustees are meeting to consider offering all five-plan options to a town, and are also considering adding Health Reimbursement Account (HRA) and Health Savings Account (HSA), which are normally coupled with high deductible plans.
- xiv) Cape Elizabeth is 14% experience rated (i.e., 86% of our premium rate is based on the entire pool of Maine municipal employees and dependents).

D. Maine Education Association Benefits Trust (MEABT)

- 1) Information Requests to MEABT
 - a) Memo to MEABT (Attachment F)
 - b) Response from MEABT (Attachment G)
- 2) Interview with MEABT
 - a) Key points committee derived from interviews:
 - i) Maine Education Association through their MEABT offers a Voluntary Employee Benefits Association (VEBA) that is fully insured by Anthem;
 - ii) Two plans are currently available to Cape Elizabeth school employees are: the Standard Plan and the Choice Plus (POS) (see Attachment: C);
 - iii) Plans are administered by Anthem and the plans offered are essentially "off the shelf" standard Anthem plans;
 - iv) As a result of the above bullet point the same plans could be offered directly by Anthem via a bidding process;

- v) Administrative fees are paid to both the MEABT and Anthem;
- vi) There is no experience rating involved;
- vii) MEABT premium cost to Cape Elizabeth is based on Choice Plus. If an employee selects Standard Plan the difference is paid by the employee.
- viii) Any new plan must consider retirees;
- ix) MEABT is considering high deductible plans combined with tax deductible reimbursement account;
- x) MEABT can only offer statewide plans, as a result: (i) towns are not able to access lower priced plans such as HMO; (ii) MMEBT plans are more expensive due to the generally higher cost of northern Maine providers;
- xi) MEABT conceded the following:
 - (1) MEA would never offer health plans for just southern Maine for various reasons including they are philosophically opposed to offering anything other than a statewide plan;
 - (2) MEA would never offer an HMO because northern Maine could not support the number of providers necessary to create an HMO;
 - (3) If they offered a plan for just southern Maine, the MEA plan premium could be cheaper.
- xii) MEA is in the early stages of putting greater emphasis on wellness programs.

IV. EXISTING SITUATION

A. MUNICIPAL – GENERAL INFORMATION

There are 55 eligible municipal employees 47 select from the plans offered by Cape Elizabeth through the *Maine Municipal Employee Health Trust* (MMEHT) at a cost of \$427,941 annually to the town. Of the 55 eligible employees, 18 of them opt for cash in lieu of benefits or a buy-down because they are covered under their spouse or partner's plan; this cost the town of Cape Elizabeth \$54,500 in 2009. Use of the municipal health trust is required by the terms of the collective bargaining agreements.

POLICE (13 employees)

Pay and benefit levels are adjusted each July for the police officers and sergeant and are covered under the collective bargaining agreement with the *Cape Elizabeth Police Benevolent Association*, affiliated with the Maine Municipal Association of Police (MAP). Their current bargaining agreement was effective July 1, 2008 and will be in place until June 30, 2011.

PUBLIC WORKS (13 employees)

Local 340 of the Teamsters represents Public Works employees (excluding management). Their bargaining agreement was effective July 1, 2008 and will be in place until June 30, 2010. The insurance plan for Public Works is provided through the Maine Municipal Employee Heath Trust (MMEHT).

ALL OTHER (21 Administration)

All other municipal employees who do not fall under the collective bargaining agreements, but are also offered benefits through the MMEHT.

Maine Municipal Employee Health Trust (MMEHT)

http://www.mmeht.org/default.htm

The Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust provides employee benefits to municipal and quasimunicipal organizations and county governments throughout the state. In order to be eligible for participation in the Health Trust, an employer group must be a member or associate member of the Maine Municipal Association.

Over 450 municipalities, counties, special districts, and non-profit organizations participate in one or more of the MMEHT plans. The plans are:

- Self Insured by MMEHT up to a maximum lifetime maximum of \$5 million per person;
- Designed and governed by MMEHT participants;
- Administered by various third-party administrators.

Employees participating in a MMEHT health insurance plan at the time of retirement are eligible to continue coverage for as long as their former employer is a member of the MMEHT, and as long as

premiums are paid. Retirees under the age of 65, and not eligible for Medicare, are provided with the same benefits as active employees of the employer. Retirees age 65 and over, have Medicare as their primary coverage and MMEHT provides retirees with supplemental coverage from Anthem Companion Plan B, along with Health Trust Major Medical coverage (including a prescription drug card).

As of January 2010, the MMEHT offers <u>six plans</u> ranging from \$100 deductible Indemnity Plan to \$2500 deductible Point of Service (PPO) plans.

B. SPECIFIC DATA FOR CAPE ELIZABETH MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES

Currently Cape Elizabeth offers two plan selections to their 55 municipal employees and families, with the Town participation based on the cost of the POS C plan:

1. Indemnity Choice is a traditional fee-for-service plan that gives employees the freedom to visit any licensed physician for covered services with no referrals required. Employees who choose this plan have a deductible of \$100 for individual and \$200 for family (Attachment D for plan characteristics). The table below outlines the monthly premium for the Indemnity Plan along with the cost share town/employee. The cost share applies to the cost of the POS C plan the additional cost of the Indemnity Plan is paid by the employee.

	Monthly Premium	Cost Share Town/Employee
Employee	\$ 609.28	90/10
Employee & Spouse	\$1,366.74	80/20
Employee & Children	\$ 994.19	80/20
Employee & Family	\$1,366.74	80/20

2. Point of Service Comprehensive (POS C) POS plan requires the employee to choose a primary care physician to monitor their health care. This primary care physician must be chosen from within the health care network and becomes their "point of service". The primary POS physician may then make referrals outside the network. Employees have a deductible of \$0 in network and \$250 for out of network for an individual and \$0 for in network and \$500 for out of network for a family (Attachment D for plan characteristics). The table below outlines the monthly premium for the POS C plan along with the cost share town/employee.

	Monthly Premium	Cost Share Town/Employee
Employee	\$ 536.17	90/10
Employee & Spouse	\$1,202.74	80/20
Employee & Children	\$ 874.89	80/20
Employee & Family	\$1,202.74	80/20

SUMMARY OF HEALTH COSTS

Two employees have selected the Indemnity Plan, and Forty-five (45) employees have selected the POS C plan at a total annual cost of \$514,823.16. The total annual cost share to the employee is \$86,881.92. The total annual cost share of the municipality is \$427,941.24.

C. SCHOOLS- GENERAL INFORMATION

In Cape Elizabeth there are 161 teachers eligible for benefits. In addition there are 11 administrators, 54 Ed Techs and Secretaries, 29 Bus Drivers, 11 Central Office Personnel, 10 Community Service employees and 10 Food Service workers. Of the total 286 eligible employees, 235 participate in the benefits offered.

Pay and benefit levels are adjusted each July or September for school employees covered under the *Maine Education Association Benefit Trust* (MEABT). Employees do not have to be a member of the Maine Education Association (MEA) to participate in the benefits offered through the MEABT. The current collective bargaining contract for teachers is in place until <u>June 30, 2011</u>.

The Maine Education Association Benefit Trust provides health benefit options to over 25,000 members across Maine. They offer two plan options:

- 1. Standard Plan Option -- a Preferred Provider Plan (PPO) does not require a referral for specialist/facility services within its network and allows insured's to choose doctors not in the network at a significantly higher cost (payment of a 20% 30% co-payment). The deductible of \$100 for individual and \$200 for family is the same whether inside or out-of-network; the cost varies for out of pocket expenses related to coinsurance level, office visit co-payments, hospital services and other services. (Attachment C for Plan Characteristics)
- 2. Choice Plus a Point of Service (POS) plan. The insured must choose a Primary Care Physician and will need referrals from their primary care physician for specialist/facility services. One can choose to go outside the network but the enrollees out-of-pocket cost will be significantly greater. The insured does not have to meet a deductible if they are within the network. Outside of the network there is a \$250 deductible for an individual and \$500 for the family. (Attachment C for Plan Characteristics)

Retirees – The group health insurance plan that is in effect for active teachers, by Maine State Law, must be made available to all teachers eligible to retire under the Maine Public Employees Retirement System. Neither the municipality nor the schools pay for retirees to be covered. However, the retired teachers' ability to participate in the plans increases the amount of the premiums that are charged plan members.

NOTE: School employees are not offered an incentive to opt out of the school's health plans. Such an incentive could significantly reduce the overall cost of health plan for the schools.

D. SPECIFIC DATA FOR CAPE ELIZABETH SCHOOL EMPLOYEES

There are 286 eligible school employees - 235 are enrolled in the MEABT offerings at a cost of \$2,538,840.96 annual cost to the Cape Elizabeth schools. This equates to 86% of the total annual premium paid for coverage. The employees' portion is \$400,960.56 or 14% of the total premium. Of the 286 eligible employees, 51 of the employees opt out of benefits and must be covered under another approved plan. They are not offered an in lieu of payment if they choose not to participate in benefits.

Cape Elizabeth Schools cover the following employee classes. There are two benefit plans offered to all eligible employees: the Standard Plan and Choice Plus Plan. The premium contribution by the Cape Elizabeth schools varies by class and is prorated for part time employees.

Employees	#	#	Cost
Classes	Eligible EEs	Enrolled EEs	Share CE/EE
Teachers	161	141	88/12
Administration	11	10	88/12
Ed Tech II & III	41	27	85/15
Sec & Ed Tech I (6 pro rated)	13	13	85/15
Bus Drivers & Cust Svc	29	23	85/15
Central Office	11	9	85/15
Community Services	10	7	85/15
Food Service	10	5	Flat \$6,200
TOTALS	286	235	

Standard Plan

	Monthly Premium	# EEs	% Total Enrolled (n=235)	Annual Cost to Schools (Net of cost sharing)
Employee	\$ 570.61	21	9%	
Two Adults	\$1,286.19	6	2%	
Employee & Children	\$1,009.96	3	1%	
Employee & Family	\$1,565.47	13	6%	
TOTALS		43	18%	\$422,109.77

Choice Plus Plan

	Monthly Premium	# EEs	% Total Enrolled (n=235)	Annual Cost to Schools (Net of cost sharing)
Employee	\$ 528.40	60	26%	
Two Adults	\$1,190.91	34	15%	
Employee & Children	\$ 935.16	24	10%	*
Employee & Family	\$1,449.51	74	31%	
TOTALS		192	82%	\$2,116,731.19

SUMMARY OF HEALTH COSTS

The above table and table on the previous page show the cost to the schools (with cost sharing by employee) for the plans offered. Forty-three employees (18%) are enrolled in the Standard Plan at a total cost to the school of \$35,175.81/month and \$422,109.77 annually. One hundred ninety-two employees (82%) are enrolled in the Choice Plus Plan at a total cost to the schools cost of \$176,394.27/month or \$2,116,731.19 annually. The total annual cost to the schools for its share of both plans is \$2,538,840.96.

V. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

How does Cape Elizabeth compare to other communities and the private sector?

A. OTHER MUNICIPALITIES

As of January 2010, the MMEHT offers six plans ranging from a \$100 deductible Indemnity Plan to a \$2,500 deductible Point of Service (POS) plan. The premium per plan type does not vary by municipality, or by region within the state. The only variable on cost is the percentage of the employer/employee cost sharing.

It is important to note that the MMEHT, <u>unlike the MEA Benefit Trust</u>, does give southern Maine towns a significant benefit by individually rating a portion of the premiums for each town.

For comparison purposes only, the Committee chose: Falmouth, Yarmouth, Scarborough, Cumberland, Freeport, Gorham, Windham, and Brunswick (the "Peer Group"). The following chart contains data as of December 2009.

INDIVIDUAL

FAMILY

TOWN	Population	Total Number Ees	Enrolled	% ER	% EE	Enrolled	% ER	% EE
CAPE ELIZABETH Indemnity POS C In lieu of 50/50 share	9,040	55.00	17.00	90%	10%	20.00	80%	20%
Falmouth POS C	11,900	77.00	17.00	90%	10%	F - 46 AC - 4	90%	10%
Yarmouth POS A, POS C Indemnity	8,400	56.00	15 2-opt out	90% POS A 88% Indemnity	10% 12%	32.00	90% 88%	10% 12%
Scarborough POS A, POS C \$1,500 buyout	18,832	152.00	80.00	100%	0%	44.00	72%	28%
Cumberland Anthem, not MMEHT, POS A, PD is POS C or High Deductible, Buyouts \$1,500, \$2,250 and \$3,000	7,404	54.00	17.00	90%	10%	F-20 2A+AC 12	90%	10%
Freeport POS A, PD is POS C Buyouts \$1,500 and \$2,800	8,111	75.00	22.00	90%	10%	F - 21 2A-3 AC-12	75%	25%
Gorham POS A Indemnity Buyout FD	15,338	88.00	22.00	90%	10%	55.00	90%	10%
Windham Municipal Only POS A	16,983	97.00	30.00	90%	10%	F-27 2A-13 AC-11	82%	18%
Brunswick Municipal Only	21,983			85%	15%		85%	15%

Some key points that can be derived from this table:

- Cape Elizabeth is competitive among its peer group in cost sharing with employees, (but slightly less competitive when compared to Cumberland, Yarmouth, and Falmouth);
- Over 50% of the Peer Group offer some form of buyout option to their employees in lieu of benefits;
- One employer (Cumberland) offers plans direct from Anthem and does not go through the MMEHT;
- One employer (Cumberland) offers a High Deductible Health Plan;
- Only 22% of the Peer Group offers Indemnity Plans.

B. OTHER SCHOOLS

The plans offered by the MEABT are the same for member schools across the state and as stated previously they include Standard Plan Option, (which is a POS) and Choice Plus (which is a Preferred Provider Plan (PPO)). See Attachment C.

Teacher Comparison Charts -- December 2008 See Attachment J for Cumberland County and Attachment K for Peer Group

Attachment J is a health benefits chart (data as of December 2008) that includes all of the school districts in Cumberland County. This is provided for informational purposes; only Attachment K is a comparison of Cape Elizabeth to the more limited Peer Group.

Attachment K is a summary chart of the health benefits offered by the Peer Group. NOTE: (1) This chart does not have cost sharing ratios (the chart on Attachment J does include the ratios and our conclusions and recommendations incorporate cost ratios); and (2) for school comparison purposes, our "primary" peer group is only Falmouth, Cumberland, and Yarmouth.

To summarize, the Committee notes the following important key points:

- The cost sharing ratios between the Cape Elizabeth schools and its employees is competitive within the Peer Group. (Note: While we only compared the two major groups individuals and families, the point should materially hold true for the remainder).
- Within the Peer Group, 33% offered cash payment in lieu of health benefits option. Almost 50% of the school districts in Cumberland County offered such an option.
- Since all school districts in our Peer Group offered MEA health plans, the premiums are the same.

C. COMPARISON TO PRIVATE COMPANIES

In looking at plans in the private sector, it became apparent that an employer does not need to sacrifice "richness" of the health benefits to gain a lower price. It is recognized that the industries are different and the experience of the pool enrollees vary, but the bottom-line message is there is opportunity without sacrificing benefits for the employee when a broker is hired and a competitive bid process is used to choose health plans, for significant cost savings for the employer and the employee.

1. Company A is a large professional firm in Portland – Approximately 200 Employees

		Single		F	amily		Adu	ılt + Chi	ld
Plan	Monthly	%	%	Monthly	%	%	Monthly	%	%
Туре	Premium	ER	EE	Premium	ER	EE	Premium	ER	EE
НМО	\$423.00	97%	3%	\$1,132.17	54%	46%	\$749.13	74%	26%
POS	\$452.47	95%	5%	\$1,213.44	54%	46%	\$801.33	69%	31%
PPO	\$453.45	93%	7%	\$1,283.37	51%	49%	\$850.40	65%	35%
\$500									
Deductible									
HDHP	\$337.77			\$905.85			\$598.19		

NOTE: This employer is particularly similar because it also allows its retirees to participate in its health plans. Moreover, in addition to Company A we looked at plans for three other comparable companies, and their 2010 rates were substantially similar to the private company above.

2. Schools

The following chart compares <u>MEABT</u> Choice Plus (POS) Plan to Company A's POS, which are very similar plans. There are 192 Cape Elizabeth school employees participating in this plan option. NOTE: This chart does not include Cape Elizabeth employees participating in the Standard Plan (see below).

	# CE EEs	Monthly Premium - CE POS	Monthly Premium – Law Firm POS	Difference	Potential Mthly Premium Savings
Employee	60	\$ 528.40	\$452.47	\$75.93	\$4,555.80
Two Adults	34	\$1,190.91	\$1,213.44	(\$22.53)	(\$766.02)
Employee & Children	24	\$ 935.16	\$801.33	\$133.83	\$3,211.92
Employee & Family	74	\$1,449.51	\$1,213.44	\$236.07	\$17,469.18
TOTALS	192				\$24,470.88

Using the above example for illustrative purposes:

Monthly Premium C.E. - Monthly Premium Law Firm = Difference Difference x Number CE EEs = Potential Mthly Savings

The potential gross total annualized saving (not including cost sharing) for just the POS Plan participants could be \$293,650.56.

For remaining 42 school employees choosing the Standard Plan, the town's payment is based on the POS premium; therefore we have estimated the potential gross total annualized savings at \$65,765.00. This estimate assumes the same mix of participants and the same average per employee savings.

Therefore, there is a total potential gross annualized savings to the schools and its employees, excluding cost-sharing, of \$359,415.92.

Using a cost-sharing average of 88% (which is representative of the largest class) results in a savings of \$316,286.01 for the schools and \$43,129.91 for the employees or \$224.63 per employee.

If an HMO were to be offered, an even greater savings could be realized over existing plans for employer and employee.

3. Municipality

The following chart compares MMEHT POS C Plan to Company A's HMO QPOS, which are similar plan types. There are 45 municipal employees participating in this plan option.

	# CE EEs	Monthly Premium - CE POS	Monthly Premium – Law Firm HMO - QPOS	Difference	Potential Mthly Premium Savings
Employee	16	\$536.17	\$452.47	\$83.70	\$1,339.20
Family (inc Two Adult)	23	\$1,202.74	\$1,213.44	(\$10.70)	(\$246.10)
Employee & Children	6	\$874.89	\$801.33	\$73.56	\$441.36
TOTALS	45				

Using the above example for illustrative purposes:

Monthly Premium C.E. - Monthly Premium Law Firm = Difference

Difference x Number CE EEs = Potential Mthly Savings

The potential gross total annualized savings of just the POS Plan could be \$18,413.52. Now assuming cost sharing average of 80% (which is representative of the largest class) this results in a savings of \$14,730.82 for the Town and \$3,682.70 for the employees, or \$81.84 per employee.

If an HMO were to be offered, an even greater savings could be realized over existing plans

4. Note:

The premium rates for the private company (known as Company A) were rates for the calendar year 2010. However, the rates for the MEA Benefits Trust used in the report were for July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010 (the latest we had). For the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust the rates used in the report were for calendar year 2009.

As a result the school "savings" are <u>understated</u> because it used MEA's 2009 rates for half of the total (July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009) while the comparable Company A rates were for calendar year 2010.

VI. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

- 1) While the state-wide plans of the MEA and MMA health trusts offer excellent benefits, they are priced high (at the upper range of reasonableness) when compared to a similar plan comprised solely of southern Maine providers and enrollees. One of the main reasons for the higher price is that northern Maine providers, due to a lack of competition and other factors, have high usual and customary charges and/or do not generally discount these charges.
- 2) Health plans, with identical benefits, comprised solely of southern Maine based providers and enrollees, should therefore be significantly less expensive. This is based not only on provider rates being lower in southern Maine, but also because southern Maine has more health insurers which can be made to bid against each other, through a competitive bidding process conducted by a professional brokerage firm.
- 3) By moving school and municipal employees from the MEA and MMA plans to virtually identical health plans offered directly by the insurance companies offers a high likelihood that significant savings can be realized for the town and its employees. For example, assuming a 10% increase in premium cost for MEA Benefit Trust from the current year to next year's rates, the savings (based on current cost sharing ratios) for the school could be approximately \$347,914.61, and for the employees as a whole, the sum of \$47,442.90. Dividing the sum of \$47,442.90 by the total number of employees covered under the POS plan yields an average savings of \$247.10 per employee, obviously the savings would be greater the more expensive the plan the employee has.
- 4) The current employees are familiar with the existing offerings; therefore educating the employees relative to any change is paramount. However, since virtually identical plans can be obtained, the only change may be in the insurance company.
- 5) Obtaining identical benefits at a lower cost to the employee provides the town and schools with a greater ability to attract and retain quality employees, as well improve the quality of services.
- 6) It is <u>essential</u> for the Town Council and the School Board to jointly engage an expert insurance broker to advise them in reviewing current plans, and especially in implementing the Committee's recommendations.
- 7) Self-insuring for health benefits is currently being investigated by some towns. State law currently prohibits towns from self insuring fro health plans (but not dental plans). However, the Committee believes that the size of the enrollee pool for Cape Elizabeth and similar towns is too small to assume the associated risk of significant adverse health events, without paying a reinsurance premium that could be so large as to eliminate or even exceed any possible savings. Given that significant savings can be obtained by directly contracting with an insurance company (through a competitive bid process) it does not seem to make sense to pursue the concept of self-insurance.
- 8) To obtain reasonable quotes from private insurers, it appears that having the prior utilization and experience data of Cape Elizabeth would be very helpful for the insurers to calculate premiums for groups of 50 or more employees (along with using community rating). Claims data for groups under 50 is less important because insurance companies use basically the following factors for this size group (known as community rating): age, gender, geographic location and smoker/non-smoker. Insurance companies in Maine generally release such data to other insurance (or employers) for competitive bidding purposes.

- 9) Maine Municipal Employee's Health Trust consistently supplies Cape Elizabeth with utilization and experience data (collectively claims data).
- 10) Maine Education Association Benefits Trust has stated publicly that it "cannot" or "will not" provide claims data to school districts. This has the potential effect of preventing school districts from obtaining the lowest cost competitive bids. In addition this creates a potential barrier to the entire competitive bid process. In addition, in the Committee's opinion, this helps Maine Education Association Benefits Trust maintain a virtual monopolistic control over health plans that can be offered by school districts to teachers.
- 11) In response to the above "cannot" argument of MEA Benefits Trust (its argument is that the benefits trust is set up as a statewide pool and simply cannot break down the data for municipalities). The Committee feels this data must be available from the MEA or Anthem, especially since such data must be collected on a per enrollee basis in order to keep track of deductibles and co-pays. Thus school districts merely need to provide the names of its employees. Regarding the "will not" position of MEA Benefits Trust, the Committee believes there may be numerous legal and other means which the school district or its employees should investigate to require MEA to disclose claims data, such as: (i) we have been informed by several insurance sources that there may be a specific state law that requires such disclosure to other insurance carriers and/or employers, (ii) there may also be a disclosure requirement under other general laws such as anti-trust laws, insurance laws and the unfair trade practices statute, (iii) the Maine Insurance Commission may have the authority to order the data disclosed, and (iv) the local union may have a right under its bylaws, or the enrollees may have a right under their plans, to obtain such data.
- 12) To the extent that any of the current school collective bargaining agreements require the use of the MEA Benefits Trust, the Committee believes a strong argument could be made that any such contractual provision is null and void because such insurance was not obtained by competitive bidding as required by 20-A M.R.S.A. Section 1001 sub paragraph 14, which states "except as otherwise provided by waiver [no statutory waiver is applicable-see sub paragraph 14(A)], a school board shall oversee the purchasing of insurance by competitive bidding".

NOTE: the school board may very well have the implicit power under such statute to require the disclosure of claims data.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Employee Health Insurance Review Committee recommends the following:

- 1) The Town Council and School Board consider collaborating to provide <u>common</u> health benefit plans to all employees of Cape Elizabeth.
- 2) Form a health benefits joint committee comprised of School Board and Town Council members:
 - a) Select a broker to make an initial evaluation of whether or not utilizing a bidding process would result in material savings for the town, the school, and their employees.
 - b) Cause the current school coalition to (i) lobby the school coalition's representatives and all other representatives to pass legislation, if necessary, to cause the MEA Benefits Trust to disclose claims data to each town in an appropriate and timely fashion, and (ii) hire counsel, perhaps jointly with other towns, to assist, if necessary, in obtaining the data

- c) Conduct a bid process consistent with applicable state law. (See e.g. 20-A M.R.S.A. Section 1001 sub paragraph 14).
- d) If the bidding process is successful, recommend to the Town Council and School Board a set of plans that will attract and retain high quality employees at a reasonable price.
- e) Educate employees that the health plans will be of similar in quality and benefits
- 3) Determine if the bidding process set forth in 20-A M.R.S.A. Section 1001 sub paragraph 14 is required for the school board, and if so, use that process and include the municipal department therein.
- 4) Consider adding such optional plans as: (a) High Deductible Health Plans (HDHP) with a Health Savings Account (HSA) this type of plan can be attractive to high-income employees as well as employees with a low incidence of claims; (b) an Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) given the fact that HMO's in southern Maine are basically comprised of the vast majority of providers, and are one of the lowest cost plans, this should also be an attractive option.
- 5) Ensure that wellness is a key component of the plan offerings, and create appropriate employee incentives as a tool to prevent excessive claims and over-utilization.
- 6) Create incentives for employees to select those quality plans that have the lowest cost.
- 7) Require that the broker send out to bid plans that provide health benefits substantially identical to existing plans.
- 8) Consider collaborating, consistent with the law, with other towns to bid and offer common plans.
- 9) Create incentives to make it attractive for employees to obtain health coverage from other sources. Employee should provide evidence of adequate coverage. Such as offering lump sum cash payments in lieu of participation in school or town health plans.
- 10) Consider offering tax deductible medical reimbursement accounts as a means of controlling plan cost, plus providing a benefit to employees.
- 11) Consider negotiating in all new collective bargaining agreements a provision that requires all employees hired after the effective date of the collective bargaining agreement be required to pay a higher portion of the cost of health insurance utilizing a different cost sharing formula than current employees. Make similar arrangements with non-union employees.
- 12) All potential plan designs and cost sharing must take into consideration that Cape Elizabeth competes for quality employees with other towns on a benefit, as well as salary basis.
- 13) Please note there are unique State law features that allow retired municipal and school employees to participate in our health plans in certain circumstances. While the premium is paid at no cost to the Town, the existence of older participants could increase the premiums charged everyone.
- 14) The new joint health committee should provide information, at appropriate points in time, to all employees, including, (i) allowing appropriate representatives to attend all meetings, as a way to allow the committee to keep employees informed and to provide a means for the committee to obtain input and information from employees, and (ii) disseminate information as appropriate to all employees as a whole, prior to soliciting bids.

VIII. ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A – Employee Health Insurance Review Committee

1) Committee Members

Name	Role	Additional Information
Al Barthelman	Citizen Member	
David Hillman	Citizen Member - Chair	
Kyle Parrish	Citizen Member	
Beth Richardson	Citizen Member	Resigned from Committee 9/11/09
Jim Walsh	Citizen Member	
Penelope Jordan	Town Council Representative	
Mary Townsend	School Board Representative	
Pauline Aportria	Staff	
Matthew Sturgis	Staff	

2) Members of the teachers and municipal unions:

Dwight Ely MEA Representative	Cape Elizabeth Education Association
Sean McCue Municipal Representative	Public Works Department/Teamsters

- 3) Committee Meetings (Held at Cape Elizabeth Town Hall)
 - a) July 28 Initial Meeting Overview of charge and election of chair
 - b) August 20 Agreed would meet with Maine Education Association (MEA) and Maine Municipal Association (MMA) reps; prepared letter
 - c) September 17 Presentation by and Interview of Robert Kennedy Acadia Benefits Inc
 - d) October 1 Presentations by and Interviews of MEA and MMA Representatives
 - e) December 3 Developed timeline and outline for completion of recommendation
 - f) January 14 Crafted first draft of recommendation
 - g) February 25 Completed final draft of recommendation
 - h) March 2 Final draft completed

ATTACHMENT B – Employees By Class

a. MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES b. SCHOOL EMPLOYEES

CAPE ELIZABETH Health Premium by Class MUNICIPAL

				_	Total	al					EE Only			
Employee Class	Eligible Ees	Enrolled		Monthly Premium Town		Annual Premium Town		Annual Premium Employee	Enrolled	Monthly Premium Town	Premium Town 90	Monthly Premium Employee	Pr	Premium EE 10
Police	13	13	↔	9,100.31	€9	\$ 109,203.72	8	14,433.00	∞	\$ 4,289.36	\$ 536.17	·	€9	1
Fire	1	1	8	962.19	S	11,546.28	€9	2,886.60	0	S	s -	-	\$	ı
Administration/Library/Pool	22	20	€4	15,316.68	↔		₩	41,125.08	6	\$ 2,895.30 \$ 482.55 \$ 321.72 \$ 53.62	\$ 482.55	\$ 321.72	es	53.62
Public Works	19	13	8	10,282.59	ક્ર	10,282.59 \$ 123,391.08 \$	63	28,437.24	ß	\$ 1,447.65 \$ 482.55 \$ 160.86 \$ 53.62	\$ 482.55	\$ 160.86	€>	53.62
Total Eligible/Covered	55	47	€9	35,661.77	69	427,941.24	€9	86,881.92	17	\$ 8,632.31				
In lieu of benefits/buydown**	18		69	54,500.00										
Total EEs Covered	47													
* Town Pays 100% of PD														
Single Coverage Per CBA														
** Includes Buy down an employee can choose full or partial coverage	ee can choos	e full or part	ial c	overage										

CAPE ELIZABETH Health Premium by Class MUNICIPAL

		(inclu	Family (includes EE + Spouse)	ouse)				EE + Child		
Employee Class	Enrolled	Monthly Premium Town	Premium Town 80	Monthly Premium Employee	Premium EE 20	Enrolled	Monthly Premium Town	Premium Town 80	Monthly Premium Employee	Premium EE 20
Police	ري ا	\$ 4.810.95	\$ 962.19	\$ 962.19 \$ 1,202.75 \$ 240.55	\$ 240.55	0	59	-	⇔	-
Fire	-		\$ 962.19	962.19 \$ 962.19 \$ 240.55 \$ 240.55	\$ 240.55	0	ς	٠	\$ -	\$ -
Administration/Library/Pool	10	\$ 9,621.90	\$ 962.19	9,621.90 \$ 962.19 \$ 2,405.50 \$ 240.55	\$ 240.55	4	\$ 2,799.48	2,799.48 \$ 699.87 \$	\$ 700.08	700.08 \$ 175.02
Public Works	7	il	\$ 962.19	6,735.33 \$ 962.19 \$ 1,683.85 \$ 240.55	\$ 240.55	3	\$ 2,099.61	\$ 699.87	2,099.61 \$ 699.87 \$ 525.06 \$ 175.02	\$ 175.02
						1	1			
Total Eligible/Covered	23	\$ 22,130.37		\$ 5,532.65		7	\$ 4,899.09		\$ 1,225.14	
In lieu of benefits/buydown**										
Total EEs Covered										
* Town Pays 100% of PD										
Single Coverage Per CBA										
** Includes Buy down an employ										

				81	2,939,801.52	400,960.56	2,538,840.96	235	286	TOTAL
				60	2,422,830.24	306,099.05	2,116,731.19	192		Total Choice Plus Plan
				21	516,971.28	94,861.51	422,109.77	43		Total Standard Plan
140.80	6,200.00	6,200.00	6,340.80	1	34,922.64	16,322.64	18,600.00	3		Choice Plus Plan
1,294.64	6,200.00	12,400.00	6,847.32	2	13,694.64	1,294.64	12,400.00	2		Standard Plan
		6,200.00		3				5	10	Food Service
		Flat								-
3,804.48	5,389.68	21,558.72	6,340.80	4	68,235.96	10,235.40	58,000.56	7		Choice Plus Plan
0.00	5,389.68	0.00	6,847.32	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0		Standard Plan
				4				7	10	Community Services
15%		85%								
2,853.36	5,389.68	16,169.04	6,340.80	3	96,683.40	14,502.52	82,180.88	8		Choice Plus Plan
0.00	5,389.68	0.00	6,847.32	0	18,785.64	4,000.64	14,785.00	,		Standard Plan
				3				9	11	Central Office
15%		85%								
7,444.70	5,596.33	55,963.30	6,340.80	10	212,523.60		187,586.92	20		Choice Plus Plan
3,752.97	5,596.33	16,788.99	6,847.32	ω	20,541.96	3,752.97	16,788.99	3		Standard Plan
				13				23	29	Bus Drivers & Cust Staff
15%		85%								
2,233.41	5,596.33	16,788.99	6,340.80	3	147,777.48	17,337.67	130,439.81	12		Choice Plus Plan
0.00	5,596.33	0.00	6,847.32	0	15,434.28	2,819.74	12,614.54	1		Standard Plan
				3				13	13	Sec & Tech I (6 prorated)
15%		85%								
7,444.70	5,596.33	55,963.30	6,340.80	10	284,183.40	33,343.84	250,839.56	25		Choice Plus Plan
1,250.99	5,596.33	5,596.33	6,847.32	1	22,281.60	4,070.73	18,210.87	2		Standard Plan
				11				27	41	Ed Tech II & III
15%		85%								
760.90	5,579.90	5,579.90	6,340.80	1	136,184.16		119,842.08	6		Choice Plus Plan
0.00	5,579.90	0.00	6,847.32	0	18,785.64		15,306.83	1		Standard Plan
		_		1			_	10	11	Administrators
12%		88%								
21,305.20	5,579.90	156,237.20	6,340.80	28	1,442,319.60	173,078.22	1,269,241.38	108		Choice Plus Plan
19,011.30	5,579.90	83,698.50	6,847.32	15	407,447.52	75,443.98	332,003.54	33		Standard Plan
				43				141	161	Teachers
12%		88%								
EE	Benefit	ER	Cost	ENROLLED	TOTAL ER & EE	EE	ER	ENROLLED	ELIGIBLE	EMPLOYEE CLASS/CATEGORY
	X.	EMPLOYEE ONLY	EMO			TOTAL	ANNUAL TOTAL			
				SCHOOL DEPARTMENT	SCHOOL					

CAPE ELIZABETH
SCHOOL DEPARTMENT

				27					40	TOTAL
				24					34	Total Choice Plus Plan
				S					6	Total Standard Plan
0.00	6,200.00	0.00	11,221.92	0	16,181.84	6,200.00	12,400.00	14,290.92	2	Choice Plus Plan
0.00	6,200.00	0.00	12,119.52	0	0.00	6,200.00	0.00	15,434.28	0	Standard Plan
				0					2	Food Service
0.00	9,338.63	0.00	11,221.92	0	6,430.92	12,147.28	36,441.84	14,290.92	J	Choice Flus Flan
0.00	9,538.63	0.00	12,119.52	0	0.00	12,147.28	0.00	15,434.28	0	Standard Plan
				0						Community Services
15%		85%			15%		85%			
0.00	9,538.63	0.00	11,221.92	0	6,430.92	12,147.28	36,441.84	14,290.92	3	Choice Plus Plan
0.00	9,538.63	0.00	12,119.52	0	0.00	12,147.28	0.00	15,434.28	0	Standard Plan
				0					w	Central Office
15%		85%			15%		85%			
0.00	9,905.42	0.00	11,221.92	0	13,411.04	12,614.54	100,916.32	14,290.92	8	Choice Plus Plan
0.00	9,905.42	0.00	12,119.52	0	0.00	12,614.54	0.00	15,434.28	0	Standard Plan
				0					%	Bus Drivers & Cust Staff
15%		85%			15%		85%			
5,266.00	9,905.42	39,621.68	11,221.92	4	1,676.38	12,614.54	12,614.54	14,290.92	1	Choice Plus Plan
0.00	9,905.42	0.00	12,119.52	0	2,819.74	12,614.54	12,614.54	15,434.28	1	Standard Plan
				4					2	Sec & Tech I (6 prorated)
15%		85%			15%		85%			
7,899.00	9,905.42	59,432.52	11,221.92	6	1,676.38	12,614.54	12,614.54	14,290.92	1	Choice Plus Plan
0.00	9,905.42	0.00	12,119.52	. 0	2,819.74	12,614.54	12,614.54	15,434.28	1	Standard Plan
				6				-	2	Ed Tech II & III
15%		85%			15%		85%			
0.00	9,875.29	0.00	11,221.92	0	5,144.73	12,576.01	37,728.03	14,290.92	3	Choice Plus Plan
0.00	9,875.29	0.00	12,119.52	0	0.00	12,576.01	0.00	15,434.28	0	Standard Plan
				0					သ	Administrators
12%		88%			12%		88%			
18,852.82	9.875.29	138.254.06	11,221.92	14	22,293.83	12.576.01	163,488.13	14.290.92	13	Choice Plus Plan
6,732.69	9,875.29	29,625.87	12,119.52	ω	11,433.08	12,576.01	50,304.04	15,434.28	4	Standard Plan
				17					17	Teachers
12%		88%			12%		88%			
EE CONTRIB	Benefit	ER	Cost	ENROLLED	EE CONTRIB	Benefit	ER CONTRIB	Cost	ENROLLED	EMPLOYEE CLASS/CATEGORY
		EE+CHILD					TWO ADULTS		-	
			RIMENT	SCHOOL DEPARTMENT	S					

0.00 0.00 15%

0.00 0.00 15%

CAPE ELIZABETH
SCHOOL DEPARTMENT

SCHOOL	CAPE
DEPARTMENT	ELIZABETH

		Two	FAMILY Two Adults + Children	dren	i
EMPLOYEE CLASS/CATEGORY	ENROLLED	Cost	ER	Benefit	EE CONTRIB
			88%		12%
Teachers	2				
Standard Plan	11	18,785.64	168,375.13	15,306.83	38,266.91
Choice Plus Plan	53	17,394.12	811,261.99	15,306.83	110,626.37
			88%		12%
Administrators	6				
Standard Plan	1	18,785.64	15,306.83	15,306.83	3,478.81
Choice Plus Plan	5	17,394.12	76,534.15	15,306.83	10,436.45
			85%		15%
Ed Tech II & III	00				
Standard Plan	0	18,785.64	0.00	15,353.65	0.00
Choice Plus Plan	∞	17,394.12	122,829.20	15,353.65	16,323.76
			85%		15%
Sec & Tech I (6 prorated)	4				
Standard Plan	0	18,785.64	0.00	15,353.65	0.00
Choice Plus Plan	. 4	17,394.12	61,414.60	15,353.65	8,161.88
			85%	,	15%
Bus Drivers & Cust Staff	2				
Standard Plan	0	18,785.64	0.00	15,353.65	0.00
Choice Plus Plan	2	17,394.12	30,707.30	15,353.65	4,080.94
			85%		15%
Central Office	3				
Standard Plan	1	18,785.64	14,785.00	14,785.00	4,000.64
Choice Plus Plan	2	17,394.12	29,570.00	14,785.00	5,218.24
			85%		15%
Community Services	0				
Standard Plan	0	18,785.64	0.00	14,785.00	0.00
Choice Plus Plan	0	17,394.12	0.00	14,785.00	0.00
Food Service	•				
Standard Plan	0	18,785.64	0.00	6,200.00	0.00
Choice Plus Plan	0	17,394.12	0.00	6,200.00	0.00
TOTAL SCALLEGE FIRM	! !				
Total Choice Plus Plan	74				
TATAL	87				

ATTACHMENT C – Maine Education Benefit Trust – Plan Summaries (page 1 of 2)

	MEA STAN	DARD PLAN	MEA CHO	DICE PLUS	
SERVICE	In Network	Out-of-Network	Higher Benefit Level	Self-referred Benefit Level	
Important Information	Coverage in this column applies; to maximum allowances for covered services when you receive health care frost providers or professionals in the Blue Cholce network;	Coverage in this column appiles to maximum allowances for covered services when you receive health care-from providers or professionals who are not in the Blue Choice network.	Coverage in this column applies to maximum dilowances for covered services provided or authorized by your Primary Care Physician.	Coverage described in this column applies to maximum allowances for self-referred, covered service (those not authorized or performe by your Primary Care Physician).	
Primary Care Physician Required	No:	No	Yes	Yes	
Coinsurance Lovel	90%	70%	90%	70%	
Office Visit Copayment Primary Gare Physician	\$15	80% after \$15.copayment	\$15	No copayment, colosurance applies	
Specialist	\$15	·\$15	\$25	No copayment, coinsurance applies	
Calendar Year Deductibles General Medical	\$100 per membar/ \$200 per family	\$100-per member/ \$200 per family	None	\$250 per mainter/ \$500 per family	
, Mentel Health (non-listed mental (linesses only)	\$150 per member	\$150 per member	Norie	ko separate mental health deductible, general medical deductible applies	
Coinsuranco Limit	\$600 per member/ \$1,200 per family (Excluding non-listed mentol bealth, which has separate limit and deductible)	\$600 per member/ \$1,200 per femily (Excluding non-listed mental health, which has suparate limit and deductible)	\$700 per membez/ \$1,400 per tämily (Dook apply to non-listed mental health services)	\$2.500 per memberf \$5.000 per family (Does apply to non-listed mental health services)	
Calendar Year Out-of-pocket Limit (Deductible + Colinsurance)	\$700 per member/ \$1,400 per family (Excluding non-listed mental health, which has separate limit and deductible)	\$700 por memberi \$1,400 per temily (Excluding non-flated mental health, which has separate limit and deductible)	\$700 per member/ \$1.400 per family (Does apply to non-listed montal health services)	\$2,500 per membari \$5,000 per tamily (Does apply to non-listed mental bealth services)	
General Medical Utelime Maximum Benefits	Na lifetime limit	No lifetime limit	No lifetima limit	No lifetime limit All inpatient admissions, except opergency and materifity edmissions, are subject to preadmission authorization. You, your physician or the provider must call 800-382-1018.	
Utilization Management	All inpatient admissions, except emergency and maternity admissions, are subject to preadmission authorization. You, your physician or the provider must call 800-392-1018.	All inpatient admissions, except emergency and maternity admissions, are subject to preadmission authorization. You, your physician or the provider must call 800-302-1016.	All inpatient admissions, except emergency and maternity admissions, are subject to preadmission authorization by your Primary Care Physician.		
High Tesh Diagnostie Radiology (including but not limited to, CT Seans, MRHMRAs, Nuclear Cardiology, PET Seans) These services require prior authorization.	90% after deductible	70% after deductibl e	90%	70% after deductible	
Hospital Šervices Inpatient	90% after deductible	70% after deductible	90%	70% after deductible	
Outpatient	90% after deductible	70% ofter deductible	90%	70% after deductible	
Emergency Care in ER (copayment is walved if you are admitted) it other services associated with the emergency room are subject to the deductible and consumance.	100% after \$50 copayment	100% after \$50 copayment.	100% after \$50 copayment	100% after \$50 copayment	

ATTACHMENT C – Maine Education Benefit Trust – Plan Summaries (page 2 of 2)

		DARD PLAN	MEA CHO	ICE PLUS	
Service	in-Network	in-Network Out-of-Network 90% after deductible 70% after deductible		Sølf-referred Banefit Level	
Professional Services Inpatient Outpatient Diagnostic Tests Outpatient Surgery Maternity	90% after deductible 80% after deductible 90% after deductible 90% after deductible	70% after deductible 70% after deductible 70% after deductible 70% after deductible	90% 90% 90% 90%	70% after deductible 70% after deductible 70% after deductible 70% after deductible	
Physician Office Visits Sick Gøre	100% after \$15 copayment	80% after \$15 copayment	100% after \$15 coppyment WIPCP 100% after \$25 copayment Wispocialist	70% after doductible	
Routine/Preventive (exam)	100% after \$15 copayment	80% alter \$15 copayment	100% after \$15 copayment w/PCP	Not Covered	
UB/GYN Exam (1 pbr year)	.100% after \$15 copayment	80% after \$15 copayment	100% after \$15 copayment w/PCP 100% after \$25 copayment w/specialist	100% after \$25 copaymont taxenbers can saif-refer to DbfC for annual well-woman exam)	
Routine Eye Exams	Not Covered	Not Covered	100% after \$25 copayment	100% after \$25 copayment	
Occupational Therapy, Physical Therapy and Speach Therapy	90% after deductible	70% after doductible	90%	70% after deductlöle	
aram and an aram and aram and an aram and aram aram and aram aram and aram aram aram aram aram aram aram ara	Up to \$3,000 limit per mou	r. OT/PY evaluation or re-evaluation uniber per calender year for es combined	Office visit copay will apply to 0 No annu		
Chiropraetic Care - Physical Manipulation	90% after deductible	70% after deductible	.90%	90% network provider 70% non-network provider	
	Up to 40 visits per mor	niber per calendar year	Up to 36 visits per membor per cale network provider; after 36 visits, PC tha higher b		
Nutritional Counseling	90% after deductible	70% after deductible	80%	70% after deductible	
(Benefit differs for rettred MEA mombers)	No agm	ual limit	No aum.	val limit	
Smoking Cossation Education Programs	90% after deductible	70% äfter deductible	90% 70% after dedui		
Physician Follow-up Visits	100% after \$15 copayment.	80% after \$15 copayment	100% after \$15 copayment w/PCP	70% after deductible	
Prescribed Medications	Prescription drug copayment applies	Prescription drug copayment opplies	Prescription drag consyment applies	70% after deductible Prescription drug copayment epplies	
Skilled Norsing Facility	90% after deductible	70% after deductible	80% 70% after deduct		
Angelia and a product and addition and a second a second and a second	No annual limit		Up to 100 days per mor	mber per calendar year	
Hospice/Heme Health Care	90% after deductible	70% after deductible	90%	70% after deductible	
Acupuncture	Not Covered	Not Covered	90%	70% after deductible	
Ourable Medical Equipment	90% after deductible	70% after deductible	90%	70% after deductible	
and the section of th	No ann	yal limit		nber per calendar year	
Prescription Drug Covernge For each 30-day supply		\$10 copayment s: \$25 copayment	Generic Drugs: S Brand Name Drugs		
Mail Order and Select Rotell Pharmacies for up to a 90-day supply		\$20 copsyment s: \$50 copsyment	Generic Orugs: 9 Brand Name Drugs		

Select Maine retail pharmacies can fill your prescription at the same copayments that apply to the mall service pharmacy level of senefits, Please ask your pharmacy if they offer this special arrangement, or call our Customer Service Department at the phone number on your ID card for a list of retail pharmacies that offer the mail service pharmacy level of benefits.

fou can also find a list of pharmacles that match the Mail Service Pharmacy level of benefits on our website at anthem.com.

ATTACHMENT D - Maine Municipal Employee Health Trust - Plan Summary (Page 1 of 2)

· with start affect and the MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES HEALTH TRUST

	MEDICAL	PROGRAM SUMMARY - January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009	anuary 1, 2009 to Decembe	er 31, 2009	
TOWN OF CAPE ELIZABETH	ETH				
Rated Group	Dual Option	Dual Option - Current Plans			
	Indemnity	2 P08 c		SOB	CAB
	0000ED	Comprehension Wedfing	Toditional	6 7	And the second s
A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	(Deductible then 80%)	(100 <i>02)/40042</i> (001)	4100x530x47x0X3	(Deducatible from: 30% and 0%)	(Dedecting then: 50%//60%
NETWORK! NON-NETWORK		DOM	Moun	finoni	PKOMT.
DEDUCTIBLE Individual(Family)	\$100 (\$200)	\$9/\$250 (\$0/\$500)	\$0!\$250 (\$0!\$500)	\$20035300	\$1500/\$2500 (\$3000/\$5000)
COLINSUPANCE	20%	0% or 10%30%	0% or 10%/20%	20%/40%	20%40%
OUT OF POCKET NAXIMUM Individual (Family)	\$1100	\$1050;\$2250 (\$2050;\$4500)	\$500/\$2250	\$1200/\$2300	\$3600/\$4000 (\$\$000/\$2000)
COPAYS: (than Not Applicable)					
Office Visit Copay	S25	\$15	S40	\$15 PCP \$20 Specilist	925
In Patient Hospital Copay	NA Deductible then 20%	NA 0% (Physician) or 10% (Hospital):30%	NA. 0% (Physician) or 10% (Hospitaly20%)	NA Ded. then 20%/Ded. then 40%	Ded, then 20%/Ded. then 40%
Out Patient Surgery Copay	NA. Deductible then 20%	\$100 then 0%/Ded. then 20%	\$100 then 0%/Ded. then 20%	NA. Ded. then 20%/Ded. then 40%	NA Ded then 20%/Ded, then 45%
Advanced Imaging Copsy (MRICTYPET) (See Summaries for Notes)	NA Deductible then 20%	\$100" then 0%/Ded. then 30% "Copays imited to \$300 per CY	\$100° then 0%/Ded. then 20% "Copaga limited to \$300 per CY	NA. Ded. then 20%/JDed. then 40%	
Emergency Room Copay	NA Deductible then 20%	05\$/ 05\$	\$50 (\$50	\$75.575	\$100 \$100
PRESCRIPTION DRUGS					
RX COPAY (30 day supply)	\$10/\$25/\$40	\$10/\$25/540	\$10/525/540	\$10/525/540	\$10/\$25/\$40
RX COPAY (31-90 day supply)	\$20/\$50/\$80	\$20/\$50/580	\$20.550.580	\$20/\$50/\$80	085/055/025
SELECT PROVISIONS:					
Preventive Care (See Summarfes)	\$25 Copay then 0%	S15 /None (In Network Only)	S15 Mone (In Network Only)	(In Network Only)	\$25 then 0% / \$25 then 20%
Preventive Lab/Xray	%0	(in Network Only)	%0%00	0%/Ded. then 40%.	0%/Ded. then 20%
Cagnostic LabOxtay	Deductible then 20%	6% /None	%0/%0	Ded. then 20%/Ded. then 40%	Ded. their 20%/Ded. then 40%
MONTHLY PREMIUM PLATES (Active)	treingen Basic Life Ins Desorth	iretterfon Busic Life ben Gonerff	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Includes Beak Life ins Benefit	includes Basic Difelins Seciolitic
Employee	\$609.28	\$536.17	\$609.28 \$1 356.74	\$455.76	\$375.95 \$843.31
Employee & Child(ren)	\$394.39	84.786	\$894.19	\$743.67	2673.44
Employee & Family	\$1.266.74	\$1,202.74	\$1,366,74	\$1,022.35	\$843.31
Employee	-	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		The state of the s	The second of th
Employee & Spouse		+2 6		And the state of t	
Employee & Family Yobal Empliment	5	10			

3/10/2010

ATTACHMENT D - Maine Municipal Employee Health Trust - Plan Summary (Page 2 of 2)

	Arria sacionosis diated (293) Chaericas (201) Arria Oper	A PPO plan is an arrangement where the health plan contracts with independent physicient, hospitals and other health care providers who becomes inferitions of a preductively provider meaner. The subsenher resigned feet health on the land who of his hours, or cluster health of the man who is his hours, or cluster health of the man who is his hours, or cluster health of the man who is health of the cluster health of the man who is health of the cluster health of the man who is health of the cluster health of the man who is health of the man w	Superior (Providing) Device (Providing) Device (College) Device (College)	a Ozi-ok Novok Providers. A special providers. A special or incompany as care from a provider (doctar or hospital) who is not not not of providers providers. Services may line a higher from the follower from the follower imply lines a higher from the follower or copeyment and lower follower incompanient and lower follower incompanient.	PPO's have fewer restrictions than JMOS (e.g. preferate ser not required to select a printial vicine physician of select a printial vicine physician of seek prior authorization for services.) Seek prior authorization for services. Office an indemnity plan.	Like a POS plan, pattertis may choose to necessive care from provides who do not participate in the PPO, with fightrapper consuments and doctucible attached transmitted provided by aut-of-network providers.	32 S	
r 31, 260s	JARTA ZONAIS SO JANGA	A POS sentincippraetas several types of risuarance cooreage under one plan. This is a mate-opino plan with one plan. Subschaber raw with one plan. Subschaber raw with one plan subschaber raw provider (HINO PCP or Set-Deterrat Provider) the chance. However, the lives of risinplusament is coloransed by the type of provider salected.	HAIC DCP - Suborations select 3 size of the control of the co	Sont-Patiented Provider Supergraves may only a code care outside of their PCP (self-care to a provider not in the MRO network) This wall result is highlor out-or-pocked codes to the autoscibers.	The POS join-combines the features of an HAD white an indemnity seyamore equies. The mentive most contractive most seyamore equies. The mentive most and receives HAO coverage but the intentives may covarient traction of indicate and developes cadely from hAO system with subdishmal thruges from the companion of contractions and deducatives. The hAO system with subdishmal thruges from the companion of calain forms.	His called if "Doese of service" because members drooze have and from whom to recoke services at the irre they need them.		Monte
MANNENDUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES HEALIN INSSI L PROGRAM SUMMARY - January 1, 2009 to Decembe	NATA SINGS AN ARCA.	A POS plan incapocates several Cypos of naturative coverages under eithe dean. This is a naub-celor plan eithe one plan. Subschoders may lacroise medical treatment storin any provides (PMS) PCP or Self-Referred Fou	HMO DCP - Subacitions seeds of friesby-course friesby-course for first of the CHMO Gooders. To receive HOLD benefits, subacities must allow plan of care from PCP.	Self-Reletred Provider: Subscribers may opt to seek care Subscribers may opt to seek care outside of their PCP (self-rifer, to a fooded run in the Hild) rithouth. Trits will result in higher out-of-podect custs to the subscribers.	The POSS plan combines the feathers of or MHAN with an independently insurance oction. The member most commonly uses the plan like an HAO and receives HIVO conserge that the members HIVO conserge that the folicies and socking confider the folicies has socking and colded the HIVO pastern with modificial designation of colors and deductibles, and authorises or cloin from it.	It is called a "point-of-service" because enterwhere choose and because how said from whom to receive cervices at the time they head then.	Yes	Verilia I (Implanted Chaire)
MAINEMUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES NEAL IN 1993. EDICAL PROGRAM SUMMARY - January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009	POUNTOS ESTACE PLAN	A POS plan incorporates sciential types of instances consideration plans the property of instances consideration plans within one plan. Subscientiars may welloon medical tearinearitant any poosise (HMO PCP or Seit-Referred Provides) in the dispass. A thereour, the period of reinfluoresments is determined by this type of provider residenced.	* NMO 'DCT - Kadwanters select a summer come physician (FCP) from a life of the physician (FCP) from a life of the doctors. To receive that of benefits, autocriber must follow plant of care from FCP.	Self-Roterrod Provider - Subscribers may out be sele tone dusked of their PDF (self-reies to a provider rail for their PDF (self-reies) to a provider rail for the HMD network.) This well result in the HMD network coasts to the subscribers.	The POS plan completes the features of an HMO with an incentify of an HMO with an incentify insulation or obtain. The releable intost commonly was the jitan like an HMO and receives HMO overage, but the incriment ratio common they common they common they common they can be leaded in the incriment and consulate theolor of these can be seed, calls conside the like or present with additional changes they or seed, and additional changes they are considered to the like or present with additional changes they are also deductables.	It is called a "politi-of sanice" sees the precision and seesaws more choice how and from whom to receive cervices at the firm they need them.		V Or V Charles
23	Cabolings Caboling Ca	A pan it a traditional transnos plan. Where, in most cases, a subscriber:	seconds health core services.	sand them submits a ration to the insurance company for retirebusement.	Under the Indemnity Plan, the unbessed was a unaccentered to the selection care provider or tasker croises. Generally, assistances mist meet an eninally deductible and pay a perceitage of the bill.	The insurance company usually reinforces a subsection for feet paid for medical services after they are performed.	1 12	with 2 dimensions 1905. with 2 dimensions 1905. with 2 dimensions 1905.
TOWN OF CAPE ELIZABETH	TYPES OF PLANS sudomitty sudomitty pool pool procept PLANS		СШО	0 K - D	O Z		Pler Pregram Combinations	Duat Program Options:

ATTACHMENT E - Letter to Maine Municipal Employee Benefit Trust



TOWN OF CAPE ELIZABETH

Health Insurance Review Committee P.O. Box 6260 320 Ocean House Road Cape Elizabeth, Maine 04107-0060 Phone 207-799-1619 Fax 207-799-5598

August 20, 2009

Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust Stephen Gove Director 60 Community Drive Augusta, Maine 04330

Dear Mr. Gove:

The Town of Cape Elizabeth established a Health Insurance Review Committee. The Committee's charge is to review the health care coverage and benefits offered to municipal and school employees. In particular the committee will look at plan design, premium cost, and providers.

This letter is written to request information concerning the current health plans offered by the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust, specifically relating to what the current benefits are, how these plans are negotiated with the current providers, and by what means the Trust received approval from the recipients of these plans. Also, please describe what other plans are currently offered.

The Committee is requesting a written answer by September 6, 2009, and it would like to request you or a designee, to come and meet with the Committee at a later date for a discussion on these points and other health care benefit issues.

The Committee has an early December goal for making recommendations to the Cape Elizabeth Town Council and School Board. We would like to invite you to present to us on either October 1 or October 22 at 7 pm. Please contact Matthew Sturgis, staff support to the Committee, at 799-1619 to discuss these dates.

We eagerly await your written response and look forward to meeting with you in person.

Sincerely,

Cape Elizabeth Health Insurance Review Committee By David Hillman, Chair

Cc: Michael K. McGovern, Town Manager

ATTACHMENT F - Letter(s) to Maine Education Association Benefit Trust & Their Response

TOWN OF CAPE ELIZABETH

Health Insurance Review Committee P.O. Box 6260 320 Ocean House Road Cape Elizabeth, Maine 04107-0060 Phone 207-799-1619 Fax 207-799-5598

August 20, 2009

MEA Benefits Trust Christine Burke Executive Director 35 Community Drive Augusta, Maine 04330

Dear Ms. Burke:

The Town of Cape Elizabeth established a Health Insurance Review Committee. The Committee's charge is to review the health care coverage and benefits offered to municipal and school employees. In particular the committee will look at plan design, premium cost, and providers.

This letter is written to request information concerning the current health plans offered by the Maine Education Association Benefits Trust, specifically relating to what the current benefits are, how these plans are negotiated with the current providers, and by what means the Trust received approval from the recipients of these plans. Also, please describe what other plans are currently offered.

The Committee is requesting a written answer by September 6, 2009, and it would like to request you or a designee, to come and meet with the Committee at a later date for a discussion on these points and other health care benefit issues.

The Committee has an early December goal for making recommendations to the Cape Elizabeth Town Council and School Board. We would like to invite you to present to us on either October 1 or October 22 at 7 pm. Please contact Matthew Sturgis, staff support to the Committee, at 799-1619 to discuss these dates.

We eagerly await your written response and look forward to meeting with you in person. . .

Sincerely,

Cape Elizabeth Health Insurance Review Committee By David Hillman, Chair

Cc: Alan Hawkins, Superintendent of Schools



TOWN OF CAPE ELIZABETH

Health Insurance Review Committee P.O. Box 6260 320 Ocean House Road Cape Elizabeth, Maine 04107-0060 Phone 207-799-1619 Fax 207-799-5598

October 9, 2009

MEA Benefits Trust Christine Burke Executive Director 35 Community Drive Augusta, Maine 04330

Dear Ms. Burke:

The Town of Cape Elizabeth Health Insurance Review Committee met on October 1, 2009. After some discussion regarding the need for visibility, the Committee moved to formally request prior claims experience for Cape Elizabeth. Claim history information was recently provided to the Committee by the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust and we would like the same information from MEA Benefits Trust in order to better inform our discussions.

Please provide this information to the Cape Elizabeth Health Insurance Review Committee prior to our meeting on October 22, 2009. If there is an issue with providing claim information, please be prepared to explain your reasoning at your presentation on October 22. Should you need clarification on the information we seek, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Additionally, both the MEA Benefits Trust and the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust are scheduled to present to the Committee on October 22nd. The meeting begins at 7:00 p.m. in the William Jordan Conference room at the Cape Elizabeth Town Hall, with the MMEHT scheduled to present first. Each of you is scheduled for one hour, with approximately 30 minutes slotted for your presentation and 30 minutes for questions and answers.

We eagerly await your written response and look forward to meeting with you in person on October 22, 2009.

Sincerely,

Cape Elizabeth Health Insurance Review Committee By David Hillman, Chair

Cc: Alan Hawkins, Superintendent of Schools



35 Coniusasky Drive – Augusta, Maine 04130-9487 – (207) 622-5386 – (207) 622-4418

Christine Burke. Esq. Executive Director

Roger Young Chair Laik Killiws Chairs Way Chair

October 21, 2009

Health Insurance Review Committee P.O. Bux 6260 320 Ocean House Band Cape Elizabeth, Malue 04107-0060

Dear Mr. Hillman:

I om responding to the October 9 2009, letter from the Town of Cape Elizabeth for specific health insurance claims information for Cape Elizabeth, which was made on behalf of the Health Insurance Review Concollect. I am unable to comply with the request as the MilA Elenchis Trust does not maintain, collect or receive any experience information concerning individual school groeps. The participants in our health insurance plan are considered one large community, and necodingly, we do not subdivide the data into individual community subsets.

We do have some information on aggregate claims data as it compares to other public purchasers both in Maine and in New Hampshire. I will be more than happy to bring this to the meeting on October 22 to discuss it with you. If you have any other questions, please feel free to contact me. I back forward to execting with you on October 22, 2009.

Sincercity.

Christine F. Iburke, Esq.

Pacculive Director

ATTACHMENT G -- CHAPTER 3 CAPE ELIZABETH PERSONNEL CODE

[Adopted effective 10/12/94 with amendments through 12/20/2008]

Sec. 3-2-11 Employee Health Benefits

The Town of Cape Elizabeth participates in the Maine Municipal Employees Health Trust (MMEHT). Employees have the option of participating in either the MMEHT Indemnity Choice Plan or the MMEHT Comprehensive Point of Service Plan. Any employee, who chooses the MMEHT Indemnity Choice Plan instead of the MMEHT Comprehensive Point of Service Plan, shall assume 100% of the additional incremental cost in addition to the applicable cost sharing. The Town reserves the right to substitute plans from other providers with similar coverage's and claims service and has the right to offer additional MMEHT plans.

- The Town pays 90% of the cost of the premium for those with single coverage. The Town pays 80% of the cost of the premium for those with dependent coverage.
- Regular permanent employees working at least 35 hours per week are eligible for the above premium payments.
- Employees working a regular year round schedule of at least 20 hours per week, but less than 35 hours per week receive a pro rata health benefit based on their percentage of hours worked based on a 40 hour work week. (For example, an employee working 25 hours year round per week would receive 25/40ths or 62.5% of the applicable premium. A single employee would have 62.5% of their premium paid. An employee with dependents would have 62.5% of 80% of their premium paid which is 50%)
- If any employee is eligible for coverage that is more expensive than single coverage, they may choose single coverage.
- If an employee can document that they are on a partner's plan, they may opt out of having coverage through the Town.
- Any savings resulting from the lesser coverage shall be shared 50% by the Town and 50% by the employee. The amount accruing to the employee shall be paid in early June and early December of each year based on the previous six months savings. The payment shall not be considered part of compensation for purposes of retirement, life insurance and computing hourly wages. The employee shall be responsible for any tax liability.
- Affected employees must elect their coverage level once a year during the enrollment period or upon hiring. Coverage must be elected before they become effective.
- Elections are binding for the plan year unless during the year the employee has a lifestyle change such as:
 - 1. Marriage or divorce
 - 2. Birth, adoption, or change in custody of a child
 - 3. Death of a spouse or child
 - 4. Gain or loss of a spouse's employment
 - 5. Change in job status from full time to part time by you or your spouse and/or
 - 6. An unpaid leave of absence by you or your spouse.

The change an employee makes must be on account of and consistent with the event.

3-20

(a) Section 125 Plan

The Town of Cape Elizabeth offers a Section 125 Plan reimbursement account through Maine School Management Association. The Town may choose another provider at its option. Medical expense reimbursement accounts are limited to \$3000 per year. Dependent care reimbursement accounts are limited to \$5,000 per calendar year per family.

(b) Fitness

The Town shall provide up to \$150.00 per calendar year to reimburse any employee for a fitness class, health club membership, a smoking cessation or weight loss program or for any other bona fide program leading to better fitness and health. Reimbursement shall be upon Town receipt of a paid invoice or copy of a cancelled check. (Amended Eff 11/10/2007)

ATTACHMENT H - Health Benefit Plan Type Comparison

The following chart is intended to highlight difference across health benefit plan types.

	COST	ACCESS	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
НМО	Often have no	Must stay with the	Low out of pocket	Tight controls makes more
		network	Encourages preventative	difficult to get specialized care
	Co-pays are low when	Need a referral	care	Care from non-HMO physician
	you visit the doctor		Often no lifetime max	generally not covered
PPO	\$500-\$2500 deductible	Wider choice and free	Free choice of health care	More paperwork and more
	Can go out of network	choice of services	provider	expensive than HMO
	– at a cost		Out of pocket costs	Less coverage for treatment by
			generally limited	non-PPO physician
POS	No deductible if in	Can go outside	Maximum freedom	Substantial co-pay for non-
	network	network at a cost	Minimal co-pay	network care
	OOP cost limited		No deductible	Deductible for non-network
	Co-pay can be high out		Non "gatekeeper for non-	care
	of network		network care	Tight controls to get specialized
			Out of pocket cost limited	care
HDHP with HSA	\$1,500 - \$5,000	No "gatekeeper"	Investment option to help	High deductible can be cost
	deductible for		offset cost	prohibitive
	individual can be as		Can roll dollars over	
	high as \$11,000 for a		Interest on investment is	
	family		deductible	
	No coinsurance		100% of services covered	
	•		after deductible met	
2 2 EXELOC	, ,,	7		

SOURCE: http://www.agencvinfo.net/iv/medical/types/hmo-ppo-pos.htm

ATTACHMENT I – RELATED MEDIA ARTICLES ON HEALTH INSURANCE Attached as hard copy

The New Hork Cimes



February 24, 2010

OP-ED CONTRIBUTOR

Bust the Health Care Trusts

By ROBERT B. REICH

Berkeley, Calif.

MY health insurer here in California is Anthem Blue Cross. So far, my group policy hasn't been affected by Anthem's planned rate increase of as much as 39 percent for its customers with individual policies — but the trend worries me, as it should everyone. Rates are soaring all over the country. Insurers have been seeking to raise premiums 24 percent in Connecticut, 23 percent in Maine, 20 percent in Oregon and a wallet-popping 56 percent in Michigan. How can insurers raise prices as much as they want without fear of losing customers?

Astonishingly, the health insurance industry is exempt from federal antitrust laws, which is why a handful of insurers have become so dominant in their markets that their customers simply have nowhere else to go. But that protection could soon end: President Obama on Tuesday announced his support of a House bill that would repeal health insurers' antitrust exemption, and Speaker Nancy Pelosi signaled that she would put it toward an immediate vote.

This is promising news. Forcing insurers to compete for our business would do at least as much good as <u>the president's proposal</u> to give the federal government, working with the states, <u>the power to deny or roll back excessive premiums</u>. The fact is that half of the states already have the power to approve rates and they don't seem to be holding insurers back much.

Health insurers like Anthem claim they have to raise rates (as well as co-payments and deductibles) because of the economic downturn. Employers are reducing coverage and cutting payrolls. As a result, more people are buying individual policies, but they tend to be older and sicker. Younger and healthier Americans are simply going without insurance, and thus not subsidizing their costlier fellow policy-holders.

This can't be the whole story, because big health insurers are making boatloads of money. America's five largest health insurers made a total profit of \$12.2 billion last year; that was 56 percent higher than in 2008, according to a report from Health Care for America Now.

It's not as if health insurers have been inventing jazzy software or making jet airplanes. Basically, they just collect money from employers and individuals and give the money to providers. In most markets, consumers wouldn't pay this much for so little. We'd find a competitor that charged less and delivered more. What's stopping us? Not enough choice.

More than 90 percent of insurance markets in more than 300 metropolitan areas are "highly concentrated," as defined by the Federal Trade Commission, according to the American Medical Association. A 2008 survey by the Government Accountability Office found the five largest providers of small group insurance controlled 75 percent or more of the market in 34 states, and 90 percent or more in 23 of those states, a significant increase in concentration since the G.A.O.'s 2002 survey.

Anthem's parent is WellPoint, one of the largest publicly traded health insurers in America, which runs Blue Cross and Blue Shield plans in 14 states and Unicare plans in several others. WellPoint, through Anthem, is the largest for-profit health insurer here in California, as it is in Maine, where it controls 78 percent of the market. In Missouri, WellPoint owns 68 percent of the market; in its home state, Indiana, 60 percent. With 35 million customers, WellPoint counts one out of every nine Americans as a member of one of its plans.

Antitrust laws are supposed to prevent this kind of market power. So why are giant health insurers like WellPoint exempt? Chalk it up to an anomaly that began seven decades ago in the quaint old world of regional, nonprofit Blues. They were created in part by hospitals to spread the costs of expensive new equipment and facilities over many policy holders. Collaboration was the point, not competition. The 1945 McCarran-Ferguson Act made it official, exempting insurers from antitrust scrutiny and giving states the power to regulate them, although not necessarily any power to regulate rates.

The system worked fairly well until about two decades ago when insurers began morphing into publicly held, for-profit cash machines. A new breed of medical entrepreneur saw opportunities to profit from a rapidly aging population eager to get every new drug and technology that might extend their lives, and a government committed to doling out hundreds of billions of dollars in Medicare and Medicaid.

With size has come not only market power but political clout. Big for-profit insurers deploy enough campaign money and lobbyists to get their way with state legislators and insurance commissioners. A proposal last year to allow California's Department of Insurance to regulate rates, for example,

died in committee. These companies have even been known to press states to limit how many other health insurers they license,

And when they can't get their way, insurers go to court. In Maine — one state that aggressively regulates rates — WellPoint's Anthem subsidiary has sued the insurance superintendent for reducing its requested rate increase.

Political clout can be especially advantageous at the federal level, as the big Wall Street banks have so brazenly demonstrated. Over the past two and a half years, WellPoint's employees and associates have contributed more than \$922,000 to federal political campaigns, and the company has spent \$7.8 million lobbying Washington policymakers, according to the Center for Responsive Politics. It should not be surprising that WellPoint was one of the leading opponents of the public insurance option, which would have subjected it to competition even where it had sewn up the market.

Antitrust is no substitute for broader health care reform, but it's an important prerequisite. If a handful of giant health insurers are allowed to dominate the industry, many of the other aspects of reform (establishing insurance exchanges, requiring people to have insurance, even allowing consumers to buy insurance across state lines) won't bring down the price of insurance.

Regardless of what happens at the White House's health care meeting on Thursday, we've got to make sure health insurers compete for every one of our dollars. First chance I get I'm going to find another health insurer here in California — unless Anthem has such a lock on the market I can't find a better deal.

Update: On Wednesday, members of the House voted overwhelmingly to eliminate the heath insurance industry's antitrust exception.

Robert B. Reich, a professor of public policy at the University of California, Berkeley, and a secretary of labor under President Bill Clinton, is the author of "Supercapitalism."

Copyright 2010 The New York Times Company

Privacy Policy | Terms of Service | Search | Corrections | RSS | First Look | Help | Contact Us | Work for Us | Site Map

Dow Jones Reprints: This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. To order presentation-ready copies for distribution to your colleagues, clients or customers, use the Order Reprints tool at the bottom of any article or visit www.dijeprints.com

See a sample reprint in PDF format.

Order a reprint of this article now

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

110722411

OPINION | MARCH 1, 2010, 8:51 A.M. ET

Hoosiers and Health Savings Accounts

An Indiana experiment that is reducing costs for the state and its employees.

By MITCH DANIELS

As Washington prepares to revisit the subject of health-care reform, perhaps some fresh experience from Middle America would be of value,

When I was elected governor of Indiana five years ago, I asked that a consumer-directed health insurance option, or Health Savings Account (HSA), be added to the conventional plans then available to state employees. I thought this additional choice might work well for at least a few of my co-workers, and in the first year some 4% of us signed up for it.

In Indiana's HSA, the state deposits \$2,750 per year into an account controlled by the employee, out of which he pays all his health bills. Indiana covers the premium for the plan. The intent is that participants will become more cost-conscious and careful about overpayment or overutilization.

Unused funds in the account—to date some \$30 million or about \$2,000 per employee and growing fast—are the worker's permanent property. For the very small number of employees (about 6% last year) who use their entire account balance, the state shares further health costs up to an out-of-pocket maximum of \$8,000, after which the employee is completely protected.

The HSA option has proven highly popular. This year, over 70% of our 30,000 Indiana state workers chose it, by far the highest in public-sector America. Due to the rejection of these plans by government unions, the average use of HSAs in the public sector across the country is just 2%.

What we, and independent health-care experts at Mercer Consulting, have found is that individually owned and directed health-care coverage has a startlingly positive effect on costs for both employees and the state. What follows is a summary of our experience:

State employees enrolled in the consumer-driven plan will save more than \$8 million in 2010 compared to their coworkers in the old-fashioned preferred provider organization (PPO) alternative. In the second straight year in which we've been forced to skip salary increases, workers switching to the HSA are adding thousands of dollars to their take-home pay. (Even if an employee had health issues and incurred the maximum out-of-pocket expenses, he would still be hundreds of dollars ahead.) HSA customers seem highly satisfied; only 3% have opted to switch back to the PPO.

The state is saving, too. In a time of severe budgetary stress, Indiana will save at least \$20 million in 2010 because of our high HSA enrollment. Mercer calculates the state's total costs are being reduced by 11% solely due to the HSA option.

Most important, we are seeing significant changes in behavior, and consequently lower total costs. In 2009, for example, state workers with the HSA visited emergency rooms and physicians 67% less frequently than co-workers with traditional health care. They were much more likely to use generic drugs than those enrolled in the conventional plan, resulting in an average lower cost per prescription of \$18. They were admitted to hospitals less than half as frequently as their colleagues. Differences in health status between the groups account for part of this disparity, but consumer decision-making is, we've found, also a major factor.

Overall, participants in our new plan ran up only \$65 in cost for every \$100 incurred by their associates under the old coverage. Are HSA participants denying themselves needed care in order to save money? The answer, as far as the state of Indiana and Mercer Consulting can find, is no. There is no evidence HSA members are more likely to defer needed care or common-sense preventive measures such as routine physicals or mammograms.

It turns out that, when someone is spending his own money alone for routine expenses, he is far more likely to ask the questions he would ask if purchasing any other good or service: "Is there a generic version of that drug?" "Didn't I take that same test just recently?" "Where can I get the colonoscopy at the best price?"

By contrast, the prevalent model of health plans in this country in effect signals individuals they can buy health care on someone else's credit card. A fast-food meal costs most Americans more out of pocket than a visit to the doctor. What seems free will always be overconsumed, compared to the choices a normal consumer would make. Hence our plan's immense savings.

The Indiana experience confirms what common sense already tells us: A system built on "cost-plus" reimbursement (i.e., the more a physician does, the more he or she gets paid) coupled with "free" to the purchaser consumption, is a machine perfectly designed to overconsume and overspend. It will never be controlled by top-down balloon-squeezing by insurance companies or the government. There will be no meaningful cost control until we are all cost controllers in our own right.

Americans can make sound, thrifty decisions about their own health. If national policy trusted and encouraged them to do so, our skyrocketing health-care costs would decelerate.

Mr. Daniels, a Republican, is governor of Indiana.

Copyright 2009 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Bangor school system stymied on health insurance

Bid for claim data to negotiate hits closed door By Eric Russell BDN Staff

BANGOR, Maine — Seeking to trim its budget by any means possible, the Bangor School Department recently tried shopping around to other health insurance carriers that might be offering a lower price.

Superintendent Betsy Webb said she didn't get far.

The Maine Education Association Benefits Trust, which facilitates insurance for MEA members through the state's largest carrier, Anthem Blue Cross and Blue Shield, would not release claim data to Bangor.

Without it, Webb said, other carriers were not interested in negotiating with Bangor.

"When we went out to other companies to get quotes, two companies said they couldn't quote without claim data. Another company used general trend data, and their quote came in much higher," she said.

Mark Gray, spokesman for the MEA, said the benefits trust is set up as a statewide pool and simply dannot break down the data for municipalities.

"We only look at claims data on a statewide basis," he said. "From time to time, districts will ask for claims data, but we don't have it to give to them."

Bangor School Department employees have a contractual obligation with Anthem through 2011 anyway, but Webb said she and her staff have a responsibility to keep costs contained.

In the past, Anthem has increased its premiums by more than 10 percent in one year, and she worried that next year could see further increases. Already, Anthem has proposed a rate increase of more than 20 percent on two of its insurance plans.

"We're not looking to reduce service, by any means, but we don't want to see as much growth either," she said.

Anthem has not yet released its rates for the 2010 year for the MEA Benefits Trust plan and likely won't until early March, according to Gray. However, he said Anthem quotes insurance rates all the time without specific claims data and said it has never been a problem.

"We understand that districts want to shop around," Gray said. "But, we believe that Anthem provides the most competitive insurance rates around, in large part because we have a big, statewide pool."

Additionally, because health care costs are often higher in northern Maine than in southern Maine, Anthem's statewide rates are equalized, he said.

Webb maintained that it's unfortunate that her school department cannot get access to health insurance information. She doesn't yet know how the school department will proceed. The Bangor

School Department already faces a potential loss in state subsidy of \$2 million for the next year. If insurance costs continue to go up, more hard decisions will have to be made

"To be honest, we kind of hit a wall," she said. "But we're going to have to continue. What choice do we have?"

ATTACHMENT J – CUMBERLAND COUNTY TEACHER COMPARISON CHARTS Attached as hard copy

CUMBERLAND COUNTY TEACHER COMPARISON CHARTS - DECEMBER 2008 Copyright © Drummond Woodsum & MacMahon. All rights are expressly reserved.

PROVISION	THOROY	Tr Cv Cv		
		CI CINCIN	MSAU 51	MSAU 61
Health Insurance	Standard and Choice Plus.	Standard and Choice Plus.	Standard and Choice Plus.	Standard and Choice Plus.
(Plan/Unit Contribution)	Flexible benefit plan.	78% all levels. Pro-rated for PT.	100% single Standard or Choice	2008-2009: 90% single CP;
	2008-2010: Based on 100% prev.	S. S	Plus. Different formulas for	77.5% other levels. Employee
	yr. Choice Plus premiums.		pre/post 9/1/93 for dependent	pays difference for Standard.
			coverage. 08-09: CP: Teacher.	
			pays 0 for S, A/C; \$2,800 2A,	
			\$5,903.21 F. St.: Teacher pays 0	
			for S; \$628.61 A/C; \$3,943.25 28: \$7 294 73 F	
Health Insurance	\$3,000. Can apply to other	No.	No/reduced coverage: 1/2 Board	Can apply 40% of Standard
(Cash-in-Lieu)	benefits offered.		savings (one-time payment).	single to disability or dental or
				use that amount toward
Dental Insurance	Part of flexible benefit plan.	100% single (pro-rated PT.).	Single coverage.	100% single
	, see the see that the see the)
PROVISION	MSAD 62	MSAD 72	MSAD 75	The state of the s
Health Insurance	Standard and Choice Plus.	Standard and Choice Plus.	Standard and Choice Phis	
(Plan/Unit Contribution)	100% single Standard or CP.	New hires 07-08: 90% S, 80%	100% single Choice Plus: 95%	
-	Standard: 80% other levels.	other levels CP. No benefits	A 95% prev. vr. premium 2A.	
	Choice Plus: 2008-2009: 87%	under half-time. Hiredariuss-	F. Teacher pays difference for	
	2A; 89% A/C; 85% F.	8/31/07: 100% S.St., 77% St. or	Standard.	
	2009-2010: 85% 2A; 87% A/C;	80% CP other evels Pre-74/85,		
	85% F.	add. comp. if less than F		
		coverage denefits pro-rated for		
the life incomment	Ontion for incommental	F	E	
	Option for increased denial	- 1.00c-1.4	20% single Choice Pius	
(Cash-in-Lieu)	coverage.		premium.	•
Dental Insurance	100% single. If take no health	1.00%	100% single for FT. PT pro-	
	ins., up to full family.		rated.	
				•

CUMBERLAND COUNTY TEACHER COMPARISON CHARTS - DECEMBER 2008 Copyright © Drummond Woodsum & MacMahon. All rights are expressly reserved.

PROVISION	BATH	BRUNSWICK	CAPE ELIZARETU	THOUSE THE	ſF.
Health Insurance	Standard and Choice Plus		Standown Chair Die	LALMOUTH	
(Plan/Unit Contribution)	100% single CP. 86% diff in	* C	Contradict Cities Ties.	Standard and Choice Plus.	
	promise for other less:	Clairdaid. 30 % Shighe, 63 % AUC,	op worde Flus.	2007-2010: Actual cost – S.	
	premium tot otner tevels.	88% ZA, F.		2008-2009: \$11.329.74	.
	employee pays diff. for Standard.	Choice Plus: 92% single 91%		\$13.240.32 2P/F 2009-2010	
		A/C; 90% 2A; 89%-F.		\$12,462,71: \$14.564,35.2P/F	
Health Insurance	2008-2009: \$550.	No.	cN	No	-
(Cash-in-Lieu)					
Dental Insurance	At employee's expense.	100% singles	\$250/vear	Vec	
		The state of the s		Š	
PROVISION	FREEDORT	MAHGOO	CITY ILCOC		- -
Health insurance	Standard and Chaice Ding		FORITAND	KAYMOND	
	Stalldald and Citolice Piles.		Flexible benefits plan.	Standard and Choice Plus.	-
(right Contribution)	100% single CP: 24 & A/C: 100%	ģ	Standard and Choice Plus,	100% single Choice Plus:	
•	prev. yr. premium; F: 89% prev.	Plus; Employee pays difference	"Benefit dollars" equal to: 100%	90% A/C 24: 85% F	
-	yr. premium.	for Standard.	single dental plus		
			100% single CP premium (if	•	,
			require single or 2A cov) or		
		\ \ ()	100% A/C CP (if require A/C or F		
			cov.). Hired after 8/31/98: No		
			benefits if empl. less than half-	-	<u>'</u>
Hoolth Increase	- N		time.		
	- NO.	New	If received pre-9/93 1/2 Board	CZ	7-
(Cash-in-Lieu)			savings based on eligibility		
Dental Insurance	100% single.	more .	g	100% single: 70%, 2B E	
				-	_

PROVISION	SCARBOROUGH	SOUTH PORT! AND	WESTRBOOK	MALICHMAN
Health Insurance	Standard and Choice Plus	Standard and Choice Dise	Standard and Obside Dive	INVIONIA
(Plan/Unit Contribution)	80% of Choice Plus premium.	2008-2009: 87% Choice Plus	Standard: 83% S. A/C: 79% 2A	Standard and Choice Pius.
	Employee pays difference for	2009-2010: 86% Choiceagus	ш.	2008-2009: Single amount plus
	Standard.	Employee pays difference for	Choice Plus: 95% S, A/C; 87.5%	40% of diff. b/w single and rate
		Standard.	2A, F.	for level of coverage;
				Employee pays diff. for Standard
Hoolth Incitioned	900 to TON A CT -1 0000			
	would to 15A (ii take neither	erage: Receive 1/2	If covered under plan in 06-07 +	No.
(Cash-in-Lieu)	nealth nor dental).	sagings to Board	drops coverage, 30% annual	
			savings.	
Dental Insurance	90% single.	90% single. /	90% full family.	100% single.
			•	
			7	

ATTACHMENT K – SCHOOL EMPLOYEE POPULATION SURVEY

		Total # e	mployees cove	red-MEA Ben	efit Health	Trust	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	# eligible employees	Single	2-Person	Adult +C	<u>Family</u>	Total	# employees receiving cash in-lieu of
Falmouth	332	MILE	<u>D T OIBOII</u>	110011	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Standard		28	9	16	2	55	Not offered
Choice +		109	38	59	51	257	1100 0110100
total		137	47	75	53	312	
Yarmouth	220			···			
							\$3000
Standard		13	9	3	10	35	can apply
Choice +		57	25	13	47	142	other
total		70	34	16	57	177	benefits offered
Scarborough	545						
Standard		24	14	6	27	71	85
Choice +		159	59	52	109	379	\$800 to Tax
total		183	73	58	136	450	Sheltered Annuity
Cumberland	395						
Standard		25	12	12	7	56	One-time offer
Choice +		131	27	74	46	278	1/2 of savings
total		156	39	86	53	334	3 or 4 ee's per yr.
Freeport	200						
Standard		1	0	0	0	1	Not offered
Choice +		45	5	11	9	70	
total		46	5	11	9	71	
Gorham	no response						
Standard							Not Offered
Choice +							
total							
Windham	550						
Standard		28	6	9	9	52	Admin only (10)
Choice +		240	64	84	76	464	
total		268	70	93	85	516	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Brunswick	477						
Standard		48	42	9	49	148	Not offered
Choice +		52	46	30	89	217	
total		100.92	88.9	39.91	138.89	365	